arXiv:1703.09590v1 [math.DG] 28 Mar 2017

EXISTENCE OF TYPICAL SCALES FOR MANIFOLDS WITH LOWER RICCI CURVATURE BOUND

DOROTHEA JANSEN

Abstract. For collapsing sequences of Riemannian manifolds which satisfy a uniform lower Ricci curvature bound it is shown that there is a sequence of scales such that for a set of good base points of large measure the pointed rescaled manifolds subconverge to a product of a Euclidean and a compact space. All Euclidean factors have the same dimension, all possible compact factors satisfy the same diameter bounds and their dimension does not depend on the choice of the base point (along a fixed subsequence).

Contents

1. Notation 5
2. Local construction 8
3. Global construction 36
References 50

If a sequence of Riemannian manifolds satisfies a uniform lower sectional curvature bound, this bound carries over to the possibly non-smooth Alexandrov limit. If the limit is actually a smooth manifold, by Yamaguchi's Fibration Theorem, [Yam91], the manifolds fibre over the limit in the following way: If M_i is a sequence of n-dimensional manifolds with a uniform lower sectional curvature bound and a uniform upper diameter bound converging to a compact manifold N of lower dimension, then for sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there are fibrations $M_i \to N$ which are close to Riemannian submersions. Moreover, up to a finite covering, each fibre is the total space of a fibration over a torus.

For proving the latter, a crucial argument is the following: Consider the pre-image of some ball under the fibration $M_i \to N$. After rescaling the metric up, this pre-image converges to a product of a Euclidean and a compact space. In fact, it is possible to replace the rescaling factors by larger

Date: March 29, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C23.

Key words and phrases. Lower Ricci curvature bound, Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.

This work was supported by the Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz-Preis of Prof. Dr. Burkhard Wilking and the SFB 878: Groups, Geometry & Actions, at the University of Münster.

ones such that the limit again has the form of such a product, but the Euclidean factor has higher dimension. This can be iterated until, finally, the compact factor vanishes. Such scaling factors are called *typical scales*. Similar techniques have been used by e.g. Shioya and Yamaguchi in [SY00] and Kapovitch, Petrunin and Tuschmann in [KPT10].

If a sequence of manifolds only satisfies a uniform lower Ricci curvature bound, Yamaguchi's Fibration Theorem might fail. This was proven by Anderson in [And92]. However, in recent years Cheeger and Colding obtained deep structure results for limits of such sequences, [CC97, CC00a, CC00b], by using *measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence*: After renormalizing the measure of the manifolds and passing to a subsequence, the manifolds converge to a metric measure space such that the (renormalised) measures converge to the limit measure. The uniform lower Ricci curvature bound carries over to the limit in the sense that the limit measure still satisfies the Bishop-Gromov Theorem.

Another difficulty occurring with only a lower Ricci curvature bound is the following: Unlike for lower section curvature bounds, tangent cones of the limit space need not be metric cones. In fact, in the case of a collapsing sequence, the tangent cones at some point may depend on the choice of the rescaling sequence, cf. [CC97]. However, Colding and Naber [CN12] proved that any limit of a sequence of manifolds with uniform lower Ricci curvature bound contains a connected subset of full measure such that for each point in this subset the tangent cone is unique and a Euclidean space of a fixed dimension $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This k is called the *dimension* of the limit space. Notice that this dimension is at most the Hausdorff-dimension of the space. In particular, $k < n$.

If a collapsing sequence of manifolds M_i satisfies the lower Ricci curvature bound $-\varepsilon_i$, where $\varepsilon_i \to 0$, and if this sequence converges to a Euclidean space, then the Rescaling Theorem of Kapovitch and Wilking in [KW11] already provides the existence of one sequence of typical scales. For this sequence, the blow-ups of the manifolds split into products of this Euclidean space and a compact factor. The main theorem of this paper generalises this statement by allowing arbitrary limit spaces and a uniform lower Ricci curvature bound.

Main Theorem. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$ in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exist a subset of good points $G_1(p_i) \subseteq B_1(p_i)$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_1(p_i)) \geq (1-\varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_1(p_i)),$$

a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and a constant $D > 0$ such that the following holds:

For any choice of base points $q_i \in G_1(p_i)$ and every sublimit (Y, q) of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ there is a compact metric space K of dimension $l \leq n-k$ and

diameter $\frac{1}{D} \leq \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq D$ *such that* Y *splits isometrically as a product*

$$Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K.$$

Moreover, for any base points $q_i, q_i' \in G_1(p_i)$ *such that, after passing to a subsequence, both* $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ *and* $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i') \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K', \cdot)$ *as before,* $\dim(K) = \dim(K')$.

Observe that $\dim(K) < n-k$ might occur in the situation of the theorem: Consider the sequence of flat tori $M_i := S^1 \times S^1(\frac{1}{i}) \times S^1(\frac{1}{i^2})$ where $S^1(r)$ denotes a circle of radius $r > 0$. In this example, it is easy to imagine the last two factors 'collapsing to a point' in the limit, although the very last factor collapses faster than central one. Hence, M_i converges to S^1. For $\lambda_i = i$, the rescaled manifolds $\lambda_i M_i$ converge to $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. Using the notation of the main theorem, one has $n = 3$, $k = 1$ and $l = 1 < 2 = n - k$.

Furthermore, note that the theorem does not prove that all possible compact spaces need to have the same dimension, but that the dimension only depends on the regarded subsequence and not on the choice of the base points: Let $M_i = S^1(\frac{1}{i^2})$ for $i \in 2\,\mathbb{N}$, $M_i = S^1(\frac{1}{i})$ for $i \in 2\,\mathbb{N}+1$ and $\lambda_i = i$. Then M_i collapses to a point, but $(\lambda_i M_i)_{i \in 2\,\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^0 \times \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and $(\lambda_i M_i)_{i \in 2\,\mathbb{N}+1} \to \mathbb{R}^0 \times S^1$.

Now turn to the proof of the main theorem. Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence as in the main theorem and let (X, p) denote its limit. First will be proven that for points $q_i \in M_i$ and a small radius $r > 0$ the conclusion of the main theorem holds correspondingly on $B_r(q_i)$ for a subset of good points $G_r(q_i)$ and a sequence of scales $\lambda_i \to \infty$, i.e. a 'local' version of the main theorem will be established.

Recall that the set of good points $G_r(q_i) \in B_r(q_i)$ and the scales $\lambda_i \to \infty$ have to satisfy the following: Any point $x_i \in G_r(q_i)$ needs to have the property that each sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ is the product of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with a compact metric space where the compact spaces (essentially) all have the same dimension. This is achieved in two steps.

First, let $G_r^1(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ denote the set of all points x_i such that all sublimits of $(\mu_i M_i, x_i)$ split off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor where $\mu_i \to \infty$ is an arbitrary sequence. In particular (once $\lambda_i \to \infty$ is constructed), for any $x_i \in G_r^1(q_i)$, any limit of the sequence $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ splits off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor. That these sets $G_r^1(q_i)$ have large volume inside $B_r(q_i)$ is obtained by generalising results of Cheeger, Colding [CC00a] and Kapovitch, Wilking [KW11] involving modified distance functions coming from splitting theorems. For more details, see subsection 2.1.

Next, define scales $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and another subset $G_r^2(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ of large volume as the set of points x_i such that $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ has small distance to a product of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and a compact metric space of diameter 1. The existence of such $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and $G_r^2(q_i)$ is obtained by using the Rescaling Theorem of Kapovitch and Wilking in [KW11]. For further explanations, see subsection 2.2.

These scales $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and the intersection $G_r(q_i) = G_r^1(q_i) \cap G_r^2(q_i)$ give the splitting result in the local version of the main theorem.

In order to finish the local version, prove that two such limits have the same dimension. First, the following special case is investigated: Suppose that two points $x_i, y_i \in G_r(q_i)$ are connected by an integral curve of a vector field whose flow is measure preserving and bi-Lipschitz (on a set of large enough volume). In this situation, via Gromov-Hausdorff convergence one obtains a bi-Lipschitz map between subsets of positive volume inside of the limit spaces of $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ and $(\lambda_i M_i, y_i)$, respectively. In particular, these limits need to have the same dimension.

For the general situation, recall that the flow of any divergence-free vector field is measure preserving. Moreover, using (slight generalisations of) results in [KW11], it is bi-Lipschitz if its derivative is small—in some L_α-norm, $\alpha > 1$, and taking some average value (in volume sense). In fact every two points of the set $G_r^2(q_i)$ are connected by the curve of such a vector field (or are at least sufficiently close to its start and end point). For more details, see subsection 2.3.

For verifying the main theorem, i.e. defining $G_1(p_i)$ and $\lambda_i \to \infty$, fix $r > 0$ and finitely many sequences $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that the union of the subsets of good points $G_r(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ has sufficiently large volume in $B_1(p_i)$. Define $G_1(p_i)$ as the union of these $G_r(q_i)$. Now difficulties arise since each sequence $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ provides its own sequence of scales $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and these sequences might be pairwise different, but the main theorem requires only a single sequence of scales. This problem can be solved if, given two such sequences $(q_i^1)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_i^2)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and their corresponding scales $\lambda_i^1 \to \infty$ and $\lambda_i^2 \to \infty$, the local version of the main theorem still holds for $(q_i^2)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\lambda_i^1 \to \infty$ (instead of $\lambda_i^2 \to \infty$). Indeed, this is achieved by a clever choice of the finitely many $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ utilizing the Hölder continuity result of Colding and Naber [CN12]. For more intuitive details on this approach, see the introduction to section 3.

This paper is structured as follows: First, notation is fixed in section 1. The subsequent sections deal with the proof of the main theorem: First, section 2 proves the above mentioned local version of the main theorem: If points q_i and some small $r > 0$ satisfy that the rescaled manifolds $(\frac{1}{r}M_i, q_i)$ are sufficiently close to $\mathbb{R}^k$, then the statement of the main theorem holds on the ball $B_r(q_i)$ analogously. As explained before, using finitely many of such sequences q_i and taking the union of the obtained subsets $G_r(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ will be used in section 3 to prove the main theorem.

Acknowledgements. The results of this paper are part of the author's PhD thesis[1]. The author would like to thank her advisor Burkhard Wilking for his guidance and expertise.

[1]Available at https://miami.uni-muenster.de.

1. Notation

For the sake of clarifying notation, recall the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Bishop-Gromov Theorem, [Pet06, Chapter 9, Lemma 1.6])**.** *Let M be a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq (n-1)\cdot\kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $p \in M$. Then the map*

$$r \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{vol}_M(B_r(p))}{V_\kappa^n(r)}$$

is monotonically decreasing with limit 1 as $r \to 0$, where $V_\kappa^n(r)$ is the volume of an r-ball in the n-dimensional space form of sectional curvature κ.

In particular, for $R \geq r > 0$,

$$\mathrm{vol}_M(B_R(p)) \leq \frac{V_\kappa^n(R)}{V_\kappa^n(r)} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_M(B_r(p)).$$

This factor is independent of M and denoted by

$$C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,R) := \frac{V_\kappa^n(R)}{V_\kappa^n(r)}.$$

Throughout this paper, the Bishop-Gromov Theorem will always be applied using the notion $C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,R)$. Regarding C_{BG} as a function of radii or curvature bound, it has the following properties: Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \geq 1$, $y > 0$, $-1 \leq \kappa \leq 0$ and $R \geq r > 0$. Then $C_{BG}(n,-1,r,cr) \leq C_{BG}(n,-1,R,cR)$, $C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,R) \leq C_{BG}(n,1,r,R)$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} C_{BG}(n,-1,x,x+y) = e^{(n-1)y}$.

In the following, all metric spaces are assumed to be proper, i.e. closed balls are compact. Recall that a sequence (X_i,p_i) of pointed metric spaces converges to (X,p) (in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense) if

$$d_{GH}(B_r^X(p), B_r^Y(q)) \to 0$$

for all $r > 0$ where $d_{GH}(B_r^X(p), B_r^Y(q))$ denotes the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff distance of the (compact) balls $(\bar{B}_r(p),p)$ and $(\bar{B}_r(q),q)$. In this case, (X,p) is called *(pointed Gromov-Hausdorff) limit* of (X_i,p_i). If (X,p) occurs as limit of a convergent subsequence, it is called *sublimit*, and the sequence (X_i,p_i) is said to *subconverge*. Note that limits are unique up to isometry.

If $d_{GH}(B^X_{1/\varepsilon}(p), B^Y_{1/\varepsilon}(q)) \leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, this is denoted by

$$d_{GH}((X,p),(Y,q)) \leq \varepsilon\,.$$

Recall the following correspondence of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and Gromov-Hausdorff approximations where maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to X$ between compact metric spaces are called *(ε-)Gromov-Hausdorff approximations* or *ε-approximations between the spaces (X,p) and (Y,q)* if $f(p) = q$, $g(q) = p$ and the following holds for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in Y$:

$$\begin{aligned} |d_X(x_1,x_2) - d_Y(f(x_1),f(x_2))| &< \varepsilon, \qquad & d_X(g\circ f(x),x) &< \varepsilon, \\ |d_Y(y_1,y_2) - d_X(g(y_1),g(y_2))| &< \varepsilon, \qquad & d_Y(f\circ g(y),y) &< \varepsilon\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let (X, d_X, p) and (X_i, d_{X_i}, p_i), $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be pointed metric spaces. If the X_i and X are length spaces, the following are equivalent:*

a) $(X_i, p_i) \to (X, p)$.
b) *There is a sequence $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ and ε_i-approximations (f_i, g_i) between the balls $(\bar{B}^{X_i}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_i), p_i)$ and $(\bar{B}^{X}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p), p)$.*
c) *For any function $g : \mathbb{R}^{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ with $\lim_{x\to 0} g(x) = 0$ there exists $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ with $d_{GH}(\bar{B}^{X_i}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_i), B^{X}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p)) \le g(\varepsilon_i)$.*

For convergent spaces $(X_i, p_i) \to (X, p)$, maps as in b) are always implicitly fixed. In this situation, recall that a sequence of points $q_i \in \bar{B}^{X_i}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$ is said to *converge* to a point $q \in X$, denoted by $q_i \to q$, if $f_i(q_i)$ converges to q (in X). In particular, $p_i^x := g_i(x) \to x$. In this situation, $(X_i, p_i^x) \to (X, x)$ as well.

Further, note the following fact: If (X, p) and (Y, q) are pointed length spaces and $R \ge r > 0$, then $d_{GH}(B^X_r(p), B^Y_r(q)) \le 16 \cdot d_{GH}(B^X_R(p), B^Y_R(q))$. In particular, convergence $d_{GH}(B^{X_i}_R(p_i), B^X_R(p)) \to 0$ for some $R > 0$ implies convergence $d_{GH}(B^{X_i}_r(p_i), B^X_r(p)) \to 0$ for all $r \le R$.

For the sake of simpler notation, instead of passing to a subsequence occasionally ultralimits will be used. Recall that a *non-principal ultrafilter on* $\mathbb{N}$ is a finitely additive probability measure ω on $\mathbb{N}$ such that all subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are ω-measurable with value $\omega(S) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\omega(S) = 0$ if S is finite, and that for any bounded sequence $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers there exists a unique real number $\lim_\omega a_i$ such that

$$\omega(\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid |a_i - \lim_\omega a_i| < \varepsilon\}) = 1$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Given a non-principal ultrafilter ω and pointed metric spaces (X_i, d_{X_i}, p_i), the metric space $\lim_\omega(X_i, p_i) := (X_\omega, d_\omega)$ is called *ultralimit* of (X_i, p_i) where

$$X_\omega := \{[(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}] \mid x_i \in X_i \text{ and } \sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} d_{X_i}(x_i, p_i) < \infty\}$$

for $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sim (y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if $\lim_\omega d_{X_i}(x_i, y_i) = 0$ and

$$d_\omega([(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}], [(y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]) := \lim_\omega d_{X_i}(x_i, y_i).$$

Lemma 1.3. *Let (X_i, d_{X_i}, p_i) and (Y_i, d_{Y_i}, q_i), $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be pointed length spaces.*

a) *Let ω be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_\omega(X_i, p_i)$ is a sublimit in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Concretely, there exists a subsequence $(i_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that both*

$$(X_{i_j}, p_{i_j}) \to \lim_\omega(X_i, p_i) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_{i_j}, q_{i_j}) \to \lim_\omega(Y_i, q_i)$$

as $j \to \infty$ in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

b) *The sublimit of a sequence of pointed length spaces in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense is the ultralimit with respect to a non-principal ultrafilter. To be more precise: If* (X, d_X, p) *and* (Y, d_Y, q) *are pointed length spaces and* $(i_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a subsequence such that both*

$$(X_{i_j}, p_{i_j}) \to (X, p) \quad \textit{and} \quad (Y_{i_j}, q_{i_j}) \to (Y, q)$$

as $j \to \infty$ *in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense, then there exists a non-principal ultrafilter* ω *on* $\mathbb{N}$ *such that there are isometries*

$$\lim_\omega (X_i, p_i) \cong (X, p) \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_\omega (Y_i, q_i) \cong (Y, q).$$

Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$. If $\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, this sequence is said to be *collapsing*. In this situation, *renormalised limit measures* are used, cf. [CC97, section 1]: Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence as above. Then (M_i, p_i) subconverges to a metric space (X, p) such that a 'renormalisation' of the measures vol_{M_i} converges to a limit measure vol_X. In fact, the following is true.

Theorem 1.4 ([CC97, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.10])**.** *Let* $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of pointed complete connected* n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds satisfying the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound* $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$*. Then* (M_i, p_i) *subconverges to a metric space* (X, p) *in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense and there exists a Radon measure* vol_X *on* X *such that for all* $x \in X$*,* $x_i \to x$ *and* $r > 0$*,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_r^{M_i}(x_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1^{M_i}(p_i))} \to \mathrm{vol}_X(B_r^X(x)) \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

Moreover, for any $R \geq r > 0$ *and* $x \in X$*,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_R^X(x))}{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_r^X(x))} \leq C_{BG}(n, -1, r, R).$$

This vol_X *is called* (renormalised) limit measure.

Observe that the limit measure of a sequence (M_i, p_i) depends on the choice of the base points and the considered subsequence, cf. again [CC97, section 1]. Moreover, observe the following: Gromov's Pre-compactness Theorem ensures subconvergence (for pointed Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension with a lower Ricci curvature bound), but the above theorem guarantees more, namely subconvergence (for the same class) including convergence of the (renormalised) measures. Throughout this paper, only measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence will be used, i.e. whenever a sequence $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a limit space (X, p), this limit is equipped with a measure vol_X as in the above theorem.

Let the complete pointed metric space (X, p) be the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of pointed connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M_i, p_i) satisfying the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound

$\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$. As introduced in [CC97, p. 408], a *tangent cone* at $x \in X$ is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit of $(\lambda_i X, x)$ where $\lambda_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. In general, this limit depends on the choice of $x \in X$ and the sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$. If the limit is independent of the choice of $\lambda_i \to \infty$, it is denoted by $C_x X$. If $C_x X = \mathbb{R}^k$, this point x is called *k-regular* and the set of all k-regular points is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_k$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{R}_k$ denotes the set of all regular points.

Moreover, Cheeger and Colding proved that there are points such that non-unique tangent cones of different dimensions occur, cf. [CC97, Example 8.80]. In particular, there are points that are not regular. However, they proved that for any renormalised limit measure the complement $X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ has measure 0, i.e. almost all points are regular, cf. [CC97, Theorem 2.1]. Even more, it was conjectured that there is some k such that $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_k$ has measure 0 as well, i.e. almost all points are k-regular. This conjecture was proven by Colding and Naber in [CN12].

Theorem 1.5 ([CN12, Theorem 1.18 and p. 1185])**.** *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p). Then there is $k = k(X) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_k$ has full measure and is connected.*

This k is called the *dimension of X*, a k-regular point is called *generic* and $X_{\mathrm{gen}} := \mathcal{R}_k$ denotes the set of all generic points. Note that $k < n$ if the sequence is collapsing.

2. Local construction

For a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M_i, p_i) satisfying the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$, the main proposition of this section provides a condition on points $q_i \in M_i$ such that on balls around these points with sufficiently small radius a 'local version' of the main theorem holds: In fact, the statement of the main theorem holds on $B_r(q_i)$ if the rescaled manifolds $(\frac{1}{r} M_i, q_i)$ are sufficiently close to the Euclidean space. Applying this result to finitely many sequences of such points q_i and radii r will prove the main theorem in section 3.

This local result follows from generalising several theorems of Cheeger and Colding in [CC96, CC00a] and Kapovitch and Wilking in [KW11]. Those results make statements assuming a sequence of manifolds to converge to a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$. The generalisations do not assume such a convergence but that the manifolds are sufficiently close to $\mathbb{R}^k$, and then make similar statements as the mentioned theorems.

In the situation of a sequence (M_i, p_i) converging to a limit (X, p) as in the main proposition, there is no reason why the manifolds should already be sufficiently close to $\mathbb{R}^k$. On the other hand, there is hope that this is true after rescaling all manifolds with (the same) factor: For a generic point

$x \in X$, the rescaled limit space $(\lambda X, x)$ converges to $\mathbb{R}^k$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. In particular, $(\lambda X, x)$ is close to $\mathbb{R}^k$ for sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, given any sequence of points $x_i \in M_i$ converging to $x \in X$, the equally rescaled manifolds $(\lambda M_i, x_i)$ converge to $(\lambda X, x)$. Hence, the $(\lambda M_i, x_i)$ are close to $\mathbb{R}^k$ for sufficiently large λ and i.

So, one can expect to be able to use the above explained generalisations for the rescaled manifolds. In fact, those (generalised) theorems make statements about balls of radius 1. Applied to the rescaled manifolds λM_i, this corresponds to balls of radius $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ in the unscaled manifolds M_i. Thus, in the following, instead of λ the notation $\frac{1}{r}$ will be used, where $r > 0$ is sufficiently small, and statements about balls of radius r will be obtained. This leads to the following local version of the main theorem, where the choice of notation $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\delta}$—while seemingly artificial—will turn out to be helpful when proving the main theorem by applying the 'local version'.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$, and let $k < n$. Given $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, there is $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0$ such that for any $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}$ and $q_i \in M_i$ with*

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}$$

there are a family of subsets of good points $G_r(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ with

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_r(q_i)) \geq (1 - \hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(q_i))$$

and a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ such that the following holds:

a) *For every choice of base points $x_i \in G_r(q_i)$ and every sublimit $(Y, \cdot)$ of $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ there exists a compact metric space K of dimension $l \leq n-k$ satisfying $\frac{1}{5} \leq \mathrm{diam}(K) \leq 1$ such that Y splits isometrically as a product*

$$Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K.$$

b) *If $x_i^1, x_i^2 \in G_r(q_i)$ are base points such that, after passing to a subsequence,*

$$(\lambda_i M_i, x_i^j) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_j, \cdot)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ as before, then $\dim(K_1) = \dim(K_2)$.

The idea of the proof is to construct two families of sets $G_r^1(q_i)$ and $G_r^2(q_i)$, where r is sufficiently small, with the following properties: For any choice of points $x_i \in G_r^1(q_i)$ and for any rescaling sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$, every (sub)limit of the sequence $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ splits off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor. The second family of sets $G_r^2(q_i)$ is constructed together with a rescaling sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ such that for all large enough i and any point $x_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$ each single rescaled manifold $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ is close to the product of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and a compact space, where this compact space depends on the choice of the regarded i and the base point x_i. After fixing this sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$, the intersection of those two sets gives the result.

This section is structured as follows: First, $G_r^1(q_i)$ and $G_r^2(q_i)$ are constructed in subsection 2.1 and subsection 2.2, respectively. Next, subsection 2.3 establishes a basis for proving that blow-ups have the same dimension. Finally, Proposition 2.1 is proven in subsection 2.4.

2.1. **Construction of $G_r^1(q_i)$.** This subsection deals with finding families of subsets $G_r^1(q_i) \subseteq B_r^1(q_i)$ such that all blow-ups of M_i with base points from $G_r^1(q_i)$ split off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor. Recall that a blow-up is the limit of the sequence of rescaled manifolds $\mu_i M_i$ for a sequence of scales $\mu_i \to \infty$. Thus, the natural question is under which condition such a splitting can be guaranteed.

By modifying certain distance functions, Cheeger and Colding obtained harmonic functions which were used to prove the following splitting theorem.

Theorem 2.2 ([CC96, Theorem 6.64])**.** *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds and let $R_i \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ be sequences of positive real numbers such that $B_{R_i}^{M_i}(p_i)$ has Ricci curvature at least $-(n-1)\cdot\varepsilon_i$. Assume $(B_{R_i}^{M_i}(p_i), p_i)$ to converge to some pointed metric space (Y, y) in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense.*

If Y contains a line, then Y splits isometrically as $Y \cong \mathbb{R}\times Y'$.

Assuming that the limit space already is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ (of the same dimension as the manifolds of the convergent sequence), Colding proved convergence of the volume of balls of radius 1 in the manifolds to the volume of the 1-ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by using n of such function (for every manifold), cf. [Col97, Lemma 2.1]. Using both the observations there and the proof of the above splitting theorem, Cheeger and Colding obtained the following statement which is stated here as noted in [KW11, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 2.3 ([CC00a, section 1])**.** *Let $(M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ be a sequence of pointed n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -\frac{1}{i}$. Then there are harmonic functions $b_1^i, \dots, b_k^i : B_2(p_i) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $C(n) \geq 0$ such that*

a) *$|\nabla b_j^i| \leq C(n)$ for all i and j and*

b) *$⨍_{B_1(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^k |\langle \nabla b_j^i, \nabla b_l^i\rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^k \|\operatorname{Hess} b_j^i\|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$.*

Moreover, the maps $\Phi^i = (b_1^i, \dots, b_k^i) : B_2(p_i) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ provide ε_i-Gromov-Hausdorff approximations between $B_1(p_i)$ and $B_1(0)$ with $\varepsilon_i \to 0$.

Conversely, Kapovitch and Wilking proved the following in [KW11]: If there exist k functions with analogous properties on balls with radii $r_i \to \infty$, then the sublimit splits off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor.

Theorem 2.4 (Product Lemma, [KW11, Lemma 2.1])**.** *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a pointed sequence of n-dimensional manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} > -\varepsilon_i$ for a sequence $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ and let $r_i \to \infty$ such that $\bar{B}_{r_i}(p_i)$ is compact for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ there are harmonic functions*

$b_j^i : B_{r_i}(p_i) \to \mathbb{R}$ *which are L-Lipschitz and fulfil*

$$\fint_{B_R(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla b_j^i, \nabla b_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess} b_j^i \|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \to 0 \text{ for all } R > 0.$$

Then $(B_{r_i}(p_i), p_i)$ *subconverges in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a metric product* $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X, p_\infty)$ *for some metric space* X*. Moreover,* $(b_1^i, \dots, b_k^i)$ *converges to the projection onto the Euclidean factor.*

The above theorems will be generalised to the following statements: If all manifolds are sufficiently close to $\mathbb{R}^k$, then there exist harmonic functions similar to those of Theorem 2.3 such that the average integral does not converge to zero but only is bounded, cf. Lemma 2.5. Consequently, an adaptation of the Product Lemma will be established: Under the following weaker hypothesis, the same conclusion holds, cf. Lemma 2.7: Only the average integral about the norms of the Hessian vanishes when passing to the limit whereas the average integrals about the scalar products of the gradients are bounded by a small constant.

First, maps similar to those in Theorem 2.3 will be constructed. A crucial step of the proof will be the rescaling of maps.

Lemma 2.5. *Given* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, there exists* $L = L(n) \geq 0$ *such that the following holds: For arbitrary* $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$*,* $R > 0$*,* $k \leq n$ *and* $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *with* $\lim_{x \to 0} g(x) = 0$ *there exists* $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}, g, R; n, k) \in (0, 1)$ *such that the following is true for every* $\delta \leq \hat{\delta}$*: For every pointed complete connected* n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold* (M, p) *with* $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1) \cdot \delta^2$ *and*

$$d_{GH}((M, p), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq g(\delta)$$

there exist harmonic functions $f_1, \dots, f_k : B_R^M(p) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $|\nabla f_j| \leq L$ *and*

$$\fint_{B_R^M(p)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla f_j, \nabla f_l \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(f_j) \|^2 \, dV_M < \hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Let $L := C(n)$ be the constant of Theorem 2.3. The proof is done by contradiction: Assume the statement is false and let $\hat{\varepsilon}$, R, k and g be contradicting. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\hat{\delta}_i := (i \cdot (n-1))^{-1/2} \in (0, 1)$ and choose the contradicting $\delta_i \leq \hat{\delta}_i$ and (M_i, p_i) with $\operatorname{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1) \cdot \delta_i^2 \geq -\frac{1}{i}$ and $d_{GH}((M_i, p_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq g(\delta_i)$ such that for all harmonic Lipschitz maps $f_1^i, \dots, f_k^i : B_1^{M_i}(p_i) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|\nabla f_j^i| \leq L$,

$$\fint_{B_1^{M_i}(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla f_j^i, \nabla f_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(f_j^i) \|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \geq \hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Since $g(\delta_i) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, $(M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ and so $(\frac{1}{R} M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ as well. By Theorem 2.3, there are harmonic functions $\tilde{f}_j^i : B_1^{R^{-1} M_i}(p_i) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$1 \leq j \leq k$, with $|\nabla \tilde{f}_j^i| \leq L$ and

$$\fint_{B_1^{R^{-1}M_i}(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla \tilde{f}_j^i, \nabla \tilde{f}_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(\tilde{f}_j^i)\|^2 \, dV_{R^{-1}M_i} \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

In particular, the rescaled functions $f_j^i := R \cdot \tilde{f}_j^i : B_R^{M_i} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|\nabla f_j^i| \leq L$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{B_R^{M_i}(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla f_j^i, \nabla f_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(f_j^i)\|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \\
&= \fint_{B_1^{R^{-1}M_i}(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla \tilde{f}_j^i, \nabla \tilde{f}_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \frac{1}{R^2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(\tilde{f}_j^i)\|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \\
&\leq \Big(1 + \frac{1}{R^2}\Big) \\
&\quad \cdot \Big(\fint_{B_1^{R^{-1}M_i}(p_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla \tilde{f}_j^i, \nabla \tilde{f}_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(\tilde{f}_j^i)\|^2 \, dV_{M_i} \Big) \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. □

In order to generalise the Product Lemma, the following result of Cheeger and Colding is used. Again, the theorem is stated using the notation of [KW11, Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 2.6 (Segment Inequality, [CC96, Theorem 2.11])**.** *Given any dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and radius $r_0 > 0$, there exists $\tau = \tau(n, r_0)$ such that the following holds: Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold which satisfies the lower Ricci curvature bound $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$ and $g : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a non-negative function. Then for $r \leq r_0$,*

$$\fint_{B_r(p) \times B_r(p)} \int_0^{d(z_1,z_2)} g(\gamma_{z_1,z_2}(t)) \, dt \, dV(z_1, z_2) \leq \tau \cdot r \cdot \fint_{B_{2r}(p)} g(q) \, dV(q),$$

where γ_{z_1,z_2} denotes a minimising geodesic from z_1 to z_2.

The following lemma is a generalisation of the Product Lemma where the average integral of scalar products of the gradients does not have to vanish, but only needs to be bounded.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $\operatorname{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1) \cdot \varepsilon_i$ where $\varepsilon_i \to 0$. Let $r_i \to \infty$ and $q_i \in M_i$ be points such that the balls $\bar{B}_{r_i}(q_i)$ are compact. Furthermore, let $k \leq n$ and assume that for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ there is a harmonic L-Lipschitz map $b_j^i : B_{r_i}(q_i) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\fint_{B_r(q_i)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| \operatorname{Hess}(b_j^i)\|^2 \, dV \to 0$ and $\fint_{B_r(q_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^{k} |\langle \nabla b_j^i, \nabla b_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}| \, dV \leq 10^{-n^2}$ for all $r \leq r_i$.*

Then every sublimit of $(B_{r_i}(q_i), q_i)$ is isometric to a product $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X, q_\infty)$ for some metric space X and some point $q_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^k \times X$.

Proof. Let (Y, y) be an arbitrary sublimit of $(B_{r_i}(q_i), q_i)$. Without loss of generality, assume convergence $(B_{r_i}(q_i), q_i) \to (Y, y)$. The concept of the proof is the following: For well chosen $\hat{q}_i \in B_{1/2}(q_i)$, $c^i_{jl} := \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\hat{q}_i)$ and after passing to a subsequence, $⨍_{B_1(q_i)} |\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle - c^i_{jl}| \, dV \to 0$. In a second step, the corresponding statement for balls of arbitrary radius will be shown. Finally, after passing to a subsequence such that every $(c^i_{jl})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some limit c_{jl} and defining h_{jl} via the matrix identity $\big((h_{jl})_{1 \le j,l \le k}\big)^2 = \big((c_{jl})_{1 \le j,l \le k}\big)^{-1}$, the linear combinations $d^i_j := \sum_{l=1}^k h_{jl} b^i_l$ satisfy the hypothesis of the Product Lemma, and thus, prove the claim.

First step: Fix $1 \le j, l \le k$ and suppose there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $i \ge N$ with

$$⨍_{B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|)(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(t)) \, dt \, dV(x_i) \ge \varepsilon$$

for all $\hat{q}_i \in B_{1/2}(q_i)$ where $\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}$ denotes a minimising geodesic from $\hat{q}_i$ to x_i. For such an i,

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{B_1(q_i) \times B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|)(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(t)) \, dt \, dV(\hat{q}_i, x_i) \\
&\ge \frac{⨍_{B_{1/2}(q_i) \times B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|)(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(t)) \, dt \, dV(\hat{q}_i, x_i)}{C_{BG}(n, -1, \frac{1}{2}, 1)} \\
&\ge \frac{\varepsilon}{C_{BG}(n, -1, \frac{1}{2}, 1)} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Segment Inequality provides $\tau = \tau(n, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{B_1(q_i) \times B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|)(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(t)) \, dt \, dV(\hat{q}_i, x_i) \\
&\le \tau \cdot ⨍_{B_2(q_i)} (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|) \, dV \\
&\le 2\tau \cdot \Big(⨍_{B_2(q_i)} \sum_{j=1}^k \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\|^2 \, dV \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, after passing to a subsequence, there exist $\hat{q}_i \in B_{1/2}(q_i)$ with $⨍_{B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_j)\| + \| \operatorname{Hess}(b^i_l)\|)(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i q}(t)) \, dt \, dV(q) \to 0$.

Define $c^i_{jl} := \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\hat{q}_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{B_1(q_i)} |\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(x_i) - c^i_{jl}| \, dV(x_i) \\
&\leq ⨍_{B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 \Big| \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau} \langle \nabla b^i_j(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i q}(t)), \nabla b^i_l(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i q}(t)) \rangle \Big| \, d\tau \, dV(q) \\
&= ⨍_{B_1(q_i)} \int_0^1 \Big| \langle \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_j) \cdot \dot{\gamma}_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(\tau), \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(\tau)) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle \nabla b^i_j, \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_l) \cdot (\dot{\gamma}_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(\tau)) \rangle(\gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(\tau)) \Big| \, d\tau \, dV(x_i) \\
&\leq ⨍_{B_1(q_i)} \frac{3L}{2} \cdot \int_0^1 (\| \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_j) \| + \| \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_l) \|) \circ \gamma_{\hat{q}_i x_i}(\tau) \, d\tau \, dV(x_i) \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Second step: Fix an arbitrary $R > 0$. Analogously to the first step, one can prove the existence of $\bar{q}_i \in B_1(q_i)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\bar{q}_i) - c^i_{jl}| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \\
&⨍_{B_R(q_i)} \int_0^1 (\| \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_j) \| + \| \mathrm{Hess}(b^i_l) \|)(\gamma_{\bar{q}_i x_i}(t)) \, dt \, dV(x_i) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $i \to \infty$. As in the first step,

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{B_R(q_i)} |\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(q) - c^i_{jl}| \, dV(q) \\
&\leq ⨍_{B_R(q_i)} \int_0^1 \Big| \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau} \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle \circ \gamma_{\bar{q}_i q}(t) \Big| + \Big| \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\bar{q}_i) - c^i_{jl} \Big| \, d\tau \, dV(q) \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Third step: As the b^i_j are L-Lipschitz, $c^i_{jl} := \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle(\hat{q}_i) \in [-L^2, L^2]$ is a bounded sequence, and thus, has a convergent subsequence. Pass to a subsequence such that all sequences $(c^i_{jl})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and denote the limits by $c_{jl} := \lim_{i \to \infty} c^i_{jl} \in [-L^2, L^2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_{jl} - \delta_{jl}| &\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} ⨍_{B_R(q_i)} |c^i_{jl} - \langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle| \, dV + ⨍_{B_R(q_i)} |\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle - \delta_{jl}| \, dV \\
&\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} ⨍_{B_R(q_i)} \sum_{j,l=1}^k |\langle \nabla b^i_j, \nabla b^i_l \rangle - \delta_{jl}| \, dV \leq 10^{-n^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the matrix $C := (c_{jl})_{1 \leq j,l \leq k}$ is invertible, symmetric and positive definite. In particular, its inverse C^{-1} is diagonalisable with positive eigenvalues. Let C_D^{-1} denote the diagonal matrix and S the invertible matrix with $C^{-1} = S \cdot C_D^{-1} \cdot S^{-1}$ and define $C_D^{-1/2}$ as the diagonal matrix whose

entries are the square roots of the diagonal entries of C_D^{-1}. Then the matrix $H := (h_{jl})_{jl} := S \cdot C_D^{-1/2} \cdot S^{-1}$ satisfies $H^2 = C^{-1}$. Now define $d_j^i := \sum_{l=1}^k h_{jl} b_l^i$.

Obviously, these are Lipschitz and harmonic. Furthermore, it is straightforward to see

$$\fint_{B_R(q_i)} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^k \left|\langle \nabla d_{j_1}^i, \nabla d_{j_2}^i\rangle - \delta_{j_1 j_2}\right| + \sum_{j=1}^k \|\operatorname{Hess}(d_j^i)\|^2 \, dV \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

By the Product Lemma, after passing to a subsequence, $(B_{r_i}(q_i), q_i)$ converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X, (0, q_\infty))$ for some metric space X and $q_\infty \in X$. Since $(B_{r_i}(q_i), q_i)$ converges to (Y, y), this proves that Y is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$. □

Applying the previous two lemmata proves that for sufficiently small balls there is a subset of good base points of arbitrary good volume such that all sublimits of sequences with respect to those base points split off an $\mathbb{R}^k$-factor. In order to verify this, the following statement, which in its first form was proven by Stein in [Ste93, p. 13], is needed for estimating the volume of a set where the so called ρ-maximum function is bounded from above. Again, the notation of [KW11, Lemma 1.4b)] is used.

Theorem 2.8 (Weak type 1-1 inequality)**.** *Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$. For a non-negative function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho > 0$, define the* ρ-maximum function *of f as*

$$\operatorname{Mx}_\rho f(p) := \sup_{r \leq \rho} \fint_{B_r(p)} f.$$

Especially, put $\operatorname{Mx} f(p) = \operatorname{Mx}_2 f(p)$. Then there is $C_{1\text{-}1}(n) > 0$ such that for any non-negative function $f \in L^1(M)$ and $c > 0$,

$$\operatorname{vol}(\{x \in M \mid \operatorname{Mx}_\rho f(x) > c\}) \leq \frac{C_{1\text{-}1}(n)}{c} \int_M f \, dV_M \,.$$

In the following, $C_{1\text{-}1}(n)$ will always denote the constant of the weak type 1-1 inequality.

Now the first set needed for Proposition 2.1 can be constructed.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfies the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\operatorname{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$, and let $k < n$. For every $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$ there exists $\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\delta}_1(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0$ such that for all $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}_1$ and $q_i \in M_i$ with*

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}_1$$

there is a family of subsets of good points $G_r^1(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{vol}_{M_i}(G_r^1(q_i)) \geq (1 - \hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}_{M_i}(B_r(q_i))$$

such that for every choice of $x_i \in G_r^1(q_i)$, every $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and every sublimit $(Y, \cdot)$ of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$ there exists Y' such that $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times Y'$ isometrically.

Proof. Define $C(n) := C_{1\text{-}1}(n) \cdot 10^{n^2} \cdot C_{BG}(n,-1,1,2)$, and let $\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\delta}_1(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ be the constant $\hat{\delta}(\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{C(n)}, \mathrm{id}, 2; n, k)$ and $L(n)$ be as in Lemma 2.5. Further, let $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}_1$ and $q_i \in M_i$ satisfy

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}_1.$$

Then there are harmonic and L-Lipschitz functions $f_j^i : B_2^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i) \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, satisfying

$$⨍_{B_2^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i)} \psi_\nabla(f^i) + \psi_H(f^i)\, dV_{r^{-1}M_i} \leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{C(n)}$$

where $\psi_\nabla(f^i) := \sum_{j,l=1}^k |\langle \nabla f_j^i, \nabla f_l^i \rangle - \delta_{jl}|$ and $\psi_H(f^i) := \sum_{j=1}^k \|\operatorname{Hess}(f_j^i)\|^2$. Define

$$G_i := \{x_i \in B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i) \mid \mathrm{Mx}_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(\psi_\nabla(f^i) + \psi_H(f^i))(x_i) < 10^{-n^2}\}$$

where the 1-maximum function is taken with respect to $d_{r^{-1}M_i} = \frac{1}{r} d_{M_i}$. Using Theorem 2.8, the volume of this set can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i) \setminus G_i) \\
&\leq \frac{C(n)}{C_{BG}(n,-1,1,2)} \cdot \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{C(n)} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(B_2^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i)) \\
&\leq \hat{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, regarding $G_r^1(q_i) := G_i$ as a subset of M_i,

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(G_r^1(q_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_r^{M_i}(q_i))} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(G_i)}{\mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i))} \geq 1 - \hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Now let $x_i \in G_r^1(q_i)$ and $\lambda_i \to \infty$ be arbitrary. Define $r_i := \lambda_i \cdot r \to \infty$ and let $0 < \rho \leq r_i$. Since $B_{r_i}^{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i) = B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(x_i) \subseteq B_2^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i)$, the rescaled maps

$$\tilde{f}_j^i := r_i \cdot f_j^i : B_{r_i}^{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i) \to \mathbb{R}$$

are well defined, harmonic and L-Lipschitz. It is straightforward to see

$$\begin{aligned}
&⨍_{B_\rho^{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i)} \psi_\nabla(\tilde{f}^i)\, dV_{\lambda_i M_i} \leq 10^{-n^2} \quad \text{and} \\
&⨍_{B_\rho^{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i)} \psi_H(\tilde{f}^i)\, dV_{\lambda_i M_i} \leq \frac{10^{-n^2}}{r_i^2} \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7, any sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ has the form $(\mathbb{R}^k \times Y', \cdot)$ for some metric space Y'. □

2.2. **Construction of $G_r^2(q_i)$ and λ_i.** The aim of this subsection is to find a rescaling sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and a family of subsets $G_r^2(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ with the following two properties: On the one hand, every single rescaled manifold $\lambda_i M_i$ (with a base point from $G_r^2(q_i)$) is close to a product of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and a compact metric space. On the other hand, the sublimits of sequences $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ with base points $x_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$ have the same dimension (depending not on the base points but only on the choice of the subsequence).

Before motivating the procedure, recall the definition of time-dependent vector fields, cf. [Lee03]: A time-dependent vector field on a Riemannian manifold M is a continuous map $X : I \times M \to TM$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, such that X^t is a vector field for all $t \in I$, i.e. X^t satisfies $X_p^t := X^t(p) := X(t,p) \in T_pM$ for all $(t,p) \in I \times M$. Such a time-dependent vector field $X : I \times M \to TM$ is called *piecewise constant in time* if there exist disjoint sub-intervals $I = I_1 \amalg \ldots \amalg I_n$ such that $X^s = X^t$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $s,t \in I_i$.

For arbitrary $s \in I$ and $I - s := \{\tau - s \mid \tau \in I\}$, a curve $c : I - s \to M$ is called *s-integral curve of X* if $c'(t) = X_{c(t)}^{s+t}$ for all $t \in I - s$. A 0-integral curve is also called *integral curve of X*.

Furthermore, there exist an open set $\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in I} \{s\} \times (I - s) \times M$ and a map $\Phi : \Omega \to M$ satisfying the following: for any $(s,p) \in I \times M$, the set $\Omega^{(s,p)} := \{t \in I - s \mid (s,t,p) \in \Omega\}$ is an open interval which contains 0, and for any fixed $(s,p) \in I \times M$ the map $c : \Omega^{(s,p)} \to M$ defined by $c(t) := \Phi(s,t,p)$ is the unique maximal s-integral curve of X with starting point p. Using the notation $\varphi_t^s := \Phi(s,t,\cdot)$, this is equivalent to φ being a maximal solution of $\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=t_0} \varphi_t^s(p) = X_{\varphi_{t_0}^s(p)}^{s+t_0}$ and $\varphi_0^s = \mathrm{id}$. Such a Φ is called *flow of X*. Moreover, the following is true: If $p \in M$ and s,t,u are times with $(s,t,p) \in \Omega$ and $(s+t,u,\varphi_t^s(p)) \in \Omega$, then $(s,t+u,p) \in \Omega$ and $\varphi_u^{s+t} \circ \varphi_t^s(p) = \varphi_{t+u}^s(p)$. In particular, if defined, φ_{-t}^{s+t} is the inverse of φ_t^s.

A time-dependent vector field X has *compact support* if there exists a compact set $K \subseteq M$ such that for all $t \in I$ the vector fields X^t have support K. In this case, the flow Φ exists for all times.

In order to prove that two blow-ups have the same dimension, the following will be established and used: Let $X_i : [0,1] \times M_i \to TM_i$ be time-dependent vector fields with $\int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2r}(\|\nabla . X_i^t\|^{3/2})(c_i(t)))^{2/3}\, dt < \hat{\varepsilon}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ and the c_i are integral curves. Moreover, let the X_i be divergence free, i.e. the flows are measure preserving. Then any blow-ups coming from the sequences with base points $c_i(0)$ and $c_i(1)$, respectively, have the same dimension, i.e. if $\lambda_i \to \infty$ with

$$(\lambda_i M_i, c_i(0)) \to (Y_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_i M_i, c_i(1)) \to (Y_1, y_1),$$

then $\dim(Y_0) = \dim(Y_1)$. This will be proven in subsection 2.3.

Since Gromov-Hausdorff convergence is preserved by shifting base points a little bit, the same statement is true if the base points $c_i(0)$ and $c_i(1)$, respectively, are replaced by points x_i and y_i, respectively, where $\lambda_i \cdot d(c_i(0), x_i) < C$

and $\lambda_i \cdot d(c_i(1), y_i) < C$ for some $C > 0$ (independent of i). This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.10. Let M be a complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $r, C, \hat{\varepsilon} > 0$. A subset $B_r(q)' \subseteq B_r(q)$ has the $\mathcal{C}(M, r, C, \hat{\varepsilon})$-*property* if the following holds:

For all pairs of points $x, y \in B_r(q)'$ there exists a time-dependent vector field $X : [0,1] \times M \to TM$ which is piecewise constant in time and has compact support and an integral curve $c : [0,1] \to M$ satisfying the following conditions:

a) The vector field X^t is divergence free on $B_{10r}(c(t))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$,
b) $d(x, c(0)) < C$, $d(y, c(1)) < C$ and
c) $\int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2r}(\|\nabla . X^t\|^{3/2})(c(t)))^{2/3}\, dt < \hat{\varepsilon}$.

Note the following: If a subset $B_r(q)' \subseteq B_r(q)$ has the $\mathcal{C}(M, r, C, \hat{\varepsilon})$-property and is regarded as a subset $B_r(q)' \subseteq B^{\lambda M}_{\lambda r}(q)$, where $\lambda > 0$, then it has the $\mathcal{C}(\lambda M, \lambda r, \lambda C, \hat{\varepsilon})$-property. For this reason, the notation of the $\mathcal{C}$-property contains the manifold M.

In order to construct the subset $G^2_r(q_i)$, the following statement is used: There is a rescaling factor such that, if a manifold is sufficiently close to $\mathbb{R}^k$, the rescaled manifold is close to a product. This statement will be proven by contradiction using the following theorem of Kapovitch and Wilking where the first part is the first part of the original theorem and the second is taken from its proof.

Theorem 2.11 (Rescaling Theorem [KW11, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds and $r_i \to \infty$ and $\mu_i \to 0$ be sequences of positive real numbers such that $B^{M_i}_{r_i}(p_i)$ has Ricci curvature larger than $-\mu_i$ and $\bar{B}^{M_i}_{r_i}(p_i)$ is compact. Suppose that (M_i, p_i) converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ for some $k < n$. After passing to a subsequence, there is a compact metric space K with $\mathrm{diam}(K) = 10^{-n^2}$, a family of subsets $G_1(p_i) \subseteq B_1(p_i)$ with $\frac{\mathrm{vol}(G_1(p_i))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_1(p_i))} \to 1$, a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and a sequence $\hat{\varepsilon}_i \to 0$ such that the following holds:*

a) *For all $q_i \in G_1(p_i)$, the isometry type of the limit of any convergent subsequence of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$ is given by the metric product $\mathbb{R}^k \times K$.*
b) *The set $G_1(p_i)$ has the $\mathcal{C}(M_i, 1, \frac{9^n}{\lambda_i}, \hat{\varepsilon}_i)$-property.*

Lemma 2.12. *For every $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, $R > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $k < n$ there exists a bound $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}, R, \eta; n, k) > 0$ such that for all pointed n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M, p) with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1) \cdot \hat{\delta}^2$ satisfying that $\bar{B}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(p)$ is compact and*

$$d_{GH}((M, p), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}$$

there is a factor $\lambda > 0$ such that the following holds:

a) *There are a subset of good points* $G_1(p) \subseteq B_1(p)$ *satisfying*

$$\mathrm{vol}_M(G_1(p)) \geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_M(B_1(p))$$

and a compact metric space K *of diameter* 1 *such that for all* $q \in G_1(p)$ *there is a point* $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}^k \times K$ *with*

$$d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda M}(q), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K}(\tilde{q})) \leq \eta.$$

b) *The set* $G_1(p)$ *has the* $\mathcal{C}(M, 1, \frac{9^n \cdot 10^{n^2}}{\lambda}, \hat{\varepsilon})$*-property.*

Proof. This is a straightforward contradiction argument rescaling both the sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and the compact space K occurring in the Rescaling Theorem 2.11 by a factor 10^{n^2}. □

Now rescaling the sequence M_i such that each element is close enough to $\mathbb{R}^k$ and applying the previous result, one obtains factors λ_i which basically are the sought-after rescaling sequence. However, the lemma does provide λ_i for every i, but does not give any information about whether or not $\lambda_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. In order to prove $\lambda_i \to \infty$, the fact is needed that spaces of different dimensions are not close. This in turn follows from the fact that sequences of limit spaces do not increase dimension. For this, the following lemma is needed which states that, given a converging sequence of proper length spaces, there exists a rescaling sequence such that the rescaled sequence converges to a tangent cone.

Lemma 2.13. *Let* $(X_i, p_i) \to (X, p)$ *be a converging sequence of proper length spaces. Then there exists* $\mu_i \to \infty$ *such that for all* $\lambda_i \to \infty$ *with* $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$, $(\lambda_i X_i, p_i)$ *subconverges to a tangent cone of* (X, p).

Proof. For $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ such that $d_{GH}((X_i, p_i), (X, p)) \leq \varepsilon_i$, let $\mu_i := \varepsilon_i^{-1/2}$. For fixed $r > 0$, let i be large enough such that $r \leq \varepsilon_i^{-3/2}$. Then $\frac{r}{\mu_i} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i}$ and

$$d_{GH}(B^{X_i}_{r/\mu_i}(p_i), B^X_{r/\mu_i}(p)) \leq 16 \cdot \varepsilon_i \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

After passing to a subsequence, $(\mu_i X, p)$ converges to a tangent cone (Y, q). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{GH}(B_r^{\mu_i X_i}(p_i), B_r^Y(q)) \\
&\leq \mu_i \cdot d_{GH}(B^{X_i}_{r/\mu_i}(p_i), B^X_{r/\mu_i}(p)) + d_{GH}(B_r^{\mu_i X}(p), B_r^Y(q)) \\
&\leq 16 \cdot \varepsilon_i \cdot \mu_i + d_{GH}(B_r^{\mu_i X}(p), B_r^Y(q)) \\
&\to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves that $(\mu_i X_i, p_i)$ subconverges to (Y, q).

Now let $\lambda_i \to \infty$ with $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$. After passing to a further subsequence, $\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \to \alpha$ for some $\alpha \leq 1$, $(\lambda_i X, p) \to (\alpha Y, q)$ and $(\lambda_i M_i, p_i) \to (\alpha Y, q)$. In particular, $(\lambda_i X_i, p_i)$ subconverges to a tangent cone of (X, p). □

Let $\mathcal{X}^n$ denote the class of all pointed metric spaces that can occur as Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds M_i with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $(X_i, p_i) \to (X, p)$ be converging spaces in $\mathcal{X}^n$ such that all X_i have the same dimension $\dim(X_i) = k$. Then $\dim(X) \leq k$.*

Proof. In order to estimate $l := \dim(X)$, take a generic point $x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}}$ and construct a tangent cone $\mathbb{R}^l$. The idea of this construction is to consider sequences of manifolds M_{ij} converging to X_i. For large i, these are sufficiently close to X, and applying Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 will give the claim. So, let $(M_{ij}, p_{ij}) \to (X_i, p_i)$ as $j \to \infty$. Without loss of generality, assume $(X, p) = (\mathbb{R}^l, 0)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_{ij}} \geq -(n-1) \cdot \delta_i$ for some monotonically decreasing sequence $\delta_i \to 0$.

Choose $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ such that $d_{GH}(B^{X_i}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_i), B^{\mathbb{R}^l}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(0)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2}$. Without loss of generality, $d_{GH}(B^{M_{ij}}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_{ij}), B^{X_i}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_i)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$d_{GH}(B^{M_{ij}}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(p_{ij}), B^{\mathbb{R}^l}_{1/\varepsilon_i}(0)) \leq \varepsilon_i \,.$$

Define $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i & \text{if } \delta_i \leq x < \delta_{i-1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq \delta_1, \end{cases}$$

$c = c(n) := 2 \cdot C_{1\text{-}1}(n) \cdot C_{BG}(n, -1, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and choose $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\frac{10^{-n^2}}{c}, g, 1; n, l)$ as in Lemma 2.5. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large such that $\delta_i \leq \hat{\delta}$. Then $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_{ij}} \geq -(n-1) \cdot \delta_i$ and, since $g(\delta_i) = \varepsilon_i$,

$$d_{GH}(B^{M_{ij}}_{1/g(\delta_i)}(p_{ij}), B^{\mathbb{R}^l}_{1/g(\delta_i)}(0)) \leq g(\delta_i).$$

So, there are $L = L(n)$ and harmonic L-Lipschitz maps $f^{ij}_h : B^{M_{ij}}_1(p_{ij}) \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq h \leq l$, such that

$$\fint_{B^{M_{ij}}_1(p_{ij})} \sum_{h_1,h_2=1}^{l} |\langle \nabla f^{ij}_{h_1}, \nabla f^{ij}_{h_2} \rangle - \delta_{h_1 h_2}| + \sum_{h=1}^{l} \| \mathrm{Hess}(f^{ij}_h) \|^2 \, dV_{M_{ij}} < \frac{10^{-n^2}}{c}.$$

In order to simplify notation, let

$$F^{ij} := \sum_{h_1,h_2=1}^{l} |\langle \nabla f^{ij}_{h_1}, \nabla f^{ij}_{h_2} \rangle - \delta_{h_1 h_2}| + \sum_{h=1}^{l} \| \mathrm{Hess}(f^{ij}_h) \|^2.$$

With the usual argumentation, the set

$$G_{ij} := \{ p \in \bar{B}^{M_{ij}}_{1/2}(p_{ij}) \mid \mathrm{Mx}_{1/2}(F^{ij})(p) \leq 10^{-n^2} \}$$

is compact with $\mathrm{vol}(G_{ij}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B^{M_{ij}}_{1/2}(p_{ij}))$ and the sequence $(G_{ij})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ subconverges to a set $G_i \subseteq \bar{B}^{X_i}_{1/2}(p_i)$ with positive volume. In particular, the intersection with $(X_i)_{\mathrm{gen}}$ is nonempty. Without loss of generality, assume

that $(G_{ij})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ itself already converges to G_i, and choose $q_{ij} \in G_{ij}$ converging to a $q_i \in (X_i)_{\mathrm{gen}}$.

Since $(M_{ij}, q_{ij}) \to (X_i, q_i)$, there exists $\mu_j^i \to \infty$ as in Lemma 2.13 such that $(\mu_j^i M_{ij}, q_{ij}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ as $j \to \infty$. On the other hand, the rescaled maps

$$\tilde{f}^{ij} := \mu_j^i f^{ij} : B^{\mu_j^i M_{ij}}_{\mu_j^i}(q_{ij}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

are harmonic and L-Lipschitz. Furthermore, for arbitrary $r > 0$ and j large enough such that $2r < \mu_j^i$,

$$\fint_{B_r^{\mu_j^i M_{ij}}(q_{ij})} \sum_{h_1,h_2=1}^{l} |\langle \nabla \tilde{f}^{ij}_{h_1}, \nabla \tilde{f}^{ij}_{h_2}\rangle - \delta_{h_1 h_2}| \, dV_{\mu_j^i M_{ij}} \le 10^{-n^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\fint_{B_r^{\mu_j^i M_{ij}}(q_{ij})} \sum_{h=1}^{l} \|\operatorname{Hess}(\tilde{f}^{ij}_h)\|^2 \, dV_{\mu_j^i M_{ij}} \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.7, there exists a metric space Z and a point $z \in \mathbb{R}^l \times Z$ such that

$$(\mu_j^i M_{ij}, q_{ij}) \to (\mathbb{R}^l \times Z, z) \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{R}^k \cong \mathbb{R}^l \times Z$, and thus, $k \ge l$. □

Lemma 2.15. *For all $k < n$ there is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n,k) \in (0, \frac{1}{100})$ such that the following is true: If $(X,p), (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, q) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ for a compact metric space K with $\operatorname{diam}(K) = 1$ and $\dim(X) = k$, then*

$$d_{GH}(B^X_{1/\varepsilon_0}(p), B^{\mathbb{R}^k\times K}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(q)) > \varepsilon_0 \,.$$

Proof. Assume the statement is false and let $k < n$ be contradicting. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i > 100$ choose sequences $(M_{ij}, p_{ij}) \to (X_i, p_i) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and $(N_{ij}, q_{ij}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i, q_i) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ as $j \to \infty$ with $\operatorname{diam}(K_i) = 1$, $\dim(X_i) = k$ and $d_{GH}((X_i,p_i),(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i, q_i)) \le \frac{1}{i}$.

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $J(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_{GH}((M_{ij},p_{ij}),(X_i,p_i)) \le \frac{1}{i}$ for all $j \ge J(i)$. Define inductively $j_1 := J(1)$ and $j_{i+1} := \max\{J(i+1), j_i + 1\}$. In particular, $j_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and $d_{GH}((M_{ij_i}, p_{ij_i}),(X_i,p_i)) \le \frac{1}{i}$.

After passing to a subsequence, (M_{ij_i}, p_{ij_i}) converges to some $(X,p) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ as $i \to \infty$. For arbitrary $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{GH}(B_r^{X_i}(p_i), B_r^X(p)) \\ &\le d_{GH}(B_r^{X_i}(p_i), B_r^{M_{ij_i}}(p_{ij_i})) + d_{GH}(B_r^{M_{ij_i}}(p_{ij_i}), B_r^X(p)) \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(X_i, p_i) \to (X,p)$. With analogous argumentation, after passing to a further subsequence, $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, q) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ for some compact metric space K with $\operatorname{diam}(K) = 1$. On the other hand, for $r > 0$ and $i \ge r$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{GH}(B_r^{\mathbb{R}^k\times K_i}(q_i), B_r^X(p)) \\ &\le d_{GH}(B_r^{\mathbb{R}^k\times K_i}(q_i), B_r^{X_i}(p_i)) + d_{GH}(B_r^{X_i}(p_i), B_r^X(p)) \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i, q_i) \to (X, p)$. In particular, $X \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K$ and $\dim(X) > k$. This is a contradiction to $\dim(X) \leq k$ by Lemma 2.14. □

Using this lemma, the sought-after rescaling sequence and family of sets can finally be constructed.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$, and let $k < n$. For every $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$ there exists $\hat{\delta}_2 = \hat{\delta}_2(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0$ such that for all $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}_2$ and $q_i \in M_i$ with*

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}_2$$

there are a family of subsets of good points $G_r^2(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ with

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_r^2(q_i)) \geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(q_i))$$

and a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ which satisfy:

a) *For each $x_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$ there is a compact metric space K_i with diameter 1 and a point $\tilde{x}_i \in \{0\} \times K_i$ such that*

$$d_{GH}(B^{\lambda_i M_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(x_i), B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(\tilde{x}_i)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{200}$$

for $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n,k)$ as in Lemma 2.15.

b) *The sets $G_r^2(q_i)$ have the $\mathcal{C}(M_i, r, \frac{9^n \cdot 10^{n^2}}{\lambda_i}, \hat{\varepsilon})$-property.*

Proof. For $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, let $\hat{\delta}_2 = \hat{\delta}_2(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ denote the $\hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{200}; n, k)$ of Lemma 2.12. Fix an arbitrary $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}_2$ and points $q_i \in M_i$ satisfying $d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}_2$.

For these $(r^{-1}M_i, q_i)$, let $\tilde{\lambda}_i > 0$, $\tilde{G}_1(q_i) \subseteq B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i)$ and K_i be as in Lemma 2.12, define $\lambda_i := \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{r}$ and regard $G_r^2(q_i) := \tilde{G}_1(q_i)$ as a subset of M_i. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(G_r^2(q_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_r^{M_i}(q_i))} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(\tilde{G}_1(q_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{r^{-1}M_i}(B_1^{r^{-1}M_i}(q_i))} \geq 1 - \hat{\varepsilon},$$

for every $x_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$ there is $\tilde{x}_i \in \{0\} \times K_i$ with

$$d_{GH}(B^{\lambda_i M_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(x_i), B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(\tilde{x}_i)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{200}$$

and $G_r^2(q_i)$ has the $\mathcal{C}(M_i, r, \frac{9^n \cdot 10^{n^2}}{\lambda_i}, \hat{\varepsilon})$-property.

Assume that the sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. After passing to a subsequence, $\lambda_i \to \alpha$ and $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i) \to (\alpha X, q)$ for some $q \in X$. Then

$$d_{GH}(B^{\alpha X}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(q), B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(\tilde{x}_i)) \leq \varepsilon_0$$

for all i large enough in contradiction to Lemma 2.15. Hence, $\lambda_i \to \infty$. □

This concludes the construction of $G_r^1(q_i)$, $G_r^2(q_i)$ and λ_i.

2.3. **The $\mathcal{C}$-property and the dimension of blow-ups.** In order to prove that the blow-ups with base points x_i and y_i, respectively, have the same dimension, a crucial argument is that the flow of a time-dependent vector field as in the definition of the $\mathcal{C}$-property is bi-Lipschitz on some small set. This result and the implication about dimensions are proven in this subsection.

For the proof it is important to know under which conditions large subsets of two balls intersect. The following lemmata deal with this question.

Lemma 2.17. *Let (X, d, vol) be a metric measure space and let $A' \subseteq A \subseteq X$ and $B' \subseteq B \subseteq X$ be measurable subsets with*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(A') &\geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(A),\\ \mathrm{vol}(B') &\geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B),\\ \mathrm{vol}(A \cap B) &> 2\hat{\varepsilon} \cdot \max\{\mathrm{vol}(A), \mathrm{vol}(B)\}\end{aligned}$$

for some $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$. Then $\mathrm{vol}(A' \cap B') > 0$, in particular, $A' \cap B' \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Observe $\mathrm{vol}((A \cap B) \setminus A') \leq \mathrm{vol}(A \setminus A') \leq \hat{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(A) < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(A \cap B)$ by hypothesis. Analogously, $\mathrm{vol}((A \cap B) \setminus B') < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(A \cap B)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(A' \cap B') &= \mathrm{vol}(A \cap B) - \mathrm{vol}((A \cap B) \setminus (A' \cap B'))\\ &\geq \mathrm{vol}(A \cap B) - (\mathrm{vol}((A \cap B) \setminus A') + \mathrm{vol}((A \cap B) \setminus B')) > 0. \square\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.18. *Let (M, g) be a complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$. Given $0 < \hat{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $s > 0$, there exist $d_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, s) > 0, \delta_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, s) > 0$ such that $(\delta_0(n), \frac{1}{sd_0} - \frac{1}{2})$ is non-empty and for $\delta \in (\delta_0(n), \frac{1}{sd_0} - \frac{1}{2})$, points $p, q \in M$ with distance $d := d(p,q) < d_0$ and $R := \frac{d}{2} + \delta d < \frac{1}{s}$,*

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p) \cap B_R(q)) > 2\hat{\varepsilon} \cdot \max\{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)), \mathrm{vol}(B_R(q))\}.$$

Moreover, this δ_0 can be chosen to be monotonically increasing in d_0.

Proof. Let $p, q \in M$ be arbitrary, $\gamma : [0, d] \to M$ be a shortest geodesic connecting p and q and define $m := \gamma(\frac{d}{2})$ as the midpoint of this geodesic, i.e. $d(p,m) = d(q,m) = \frac{d}{2}$.

First, let $r > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $B_r(m) \subseteq B_{d/2+r}(p) \cap B_{d/2+r}(q)$ and $B_{d/2+r}(p) \subseteq B_{d+r}(m)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{d/2+r}(p) \cap B_{d/2+r}(q))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{d/2+r}(p))} \geq \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(m))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{d+r}(m))} \geq \frac{1}{C_{BG}(n, -1, r, d+r)}.$$

Now let $C_0 := \frac{1}{2\hat{\varepsilon}} > 1$ and $\hat{r} := \hat{r}(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, s) := \min\left\{\frac{\ln(C_0)}{2(n-1)}, \frac{1}{s}\right\}$. For any fixed $0 < d < 2\hat{r}$, define

$$\tilde{\delta}_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, d) := \inf\{\delta' > 0 \mid \forall \delta > \delta' : f_{n,\delta}(\delta d) < C_0\} \in [0, \infty]$$

where for $\delta > 0$ and $r > 0$,

$$f_{n,\delta}(r) := C_{BG}\left(n, -1, r, \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \cdot r\right).$$

In fact, this $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)$ is finite and monotonically increasing in d as will be proven next: Assume $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)=\infty$, i.e. there exists $\delta_m\to\infty$ such that $f_{n,\delta_m}(\delta_m d)\geq C_0$. Then

$$f_{n,\delta_m}(\delta_m d)=C_{BG}(n,-1,\delta_m d,\delta_m d+d)\to e^{(n-1)d} \text{ as } m\to\infty,$$

and this implies $e^{(n-1)d}\geq C_0$. On the other hand, $e^{(n-1)d}<e^{2(n-1)\hat{r}}\leq C_0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)<\infty$.

Now let $d_1<d_2$ and $\delta>\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d_2)$. Since $f_{n,\delta}$ is monotonically increasing in r,

$$C_0>f_{n,\delta}(\delta d_2)\geq f_{n,\delta}(\delta d_1),$$

i.e. $\delta\geq\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d_1)$, and this proves the monotonicity of $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},\cdot)$.

Hence, $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)$ decreases for decreasing d whereas $\frac{1}{sd}-\frac{1}{2}$ increases. Therefore, there exists $d_0=d_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},s)\leq 2\hat{r}$ such that $\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)\leq\frac{1}{sd}-\frac{1}{2}$ for $d\leq d_0$. Let

$$\delta_0=\delta_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},s):=\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},s))=\max\{\tilde{\delta}_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},d)\mid 0<d\leq d_0\}$$

where the monotonicity of $\tilde{\delta}_0$ is used in the last equality. Finally, for $d\leq d_0$ and $\delta_0<\delta<\frac{1}{sd_0}-\frac{1}{2}$, let $R:=\frac{d}{2}+\delta d=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta\right)\cdot d<\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{sd_0}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\cdot d_0=\frac{1}{s}$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)\cap B_R(q))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p))}\geq\frac{1}{C_{BG}(n,-1,\delta d,d+\delta d)}=\frac{1}{f_{n,\delta}(\delta d)}>\frac{1}{C_0}=2\hat{\varepsilon}.\ \square$$

The next lemma will only be needed in section 3 but is already given here since its statement and the proof are similar to the previous one.

Lemma 2.19. *Let (M,g) be a complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_M\geq-(n-1)$. For all $0<\hat{\varepsilon}<\frac{1}{2}$ and $R>0$ there is $d_0=d_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},R)>0$ such that for all $p,q\in M$ with $d(p,q)<d_0$,*

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)\cap B_R(q))>2\hat{\varepsilon}\cdot\max\{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)),\mathrm{vol}(B_R(q))\}.$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.18, for arbitrary points $p,q\in M$ with distance $d:=d(p,q)<2R$, observe

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)\cap B_R(q))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p))}\geq\frac{1}{C_{BG}(n,-1,R-\frac{d}{2},R+\frac{d}{2})}.$$

As $C_{BG}(n,-1,R-\frac{d}{2},R+\frac{d}{2})\to 1$ as $d\to 0$, there is $d_0=d_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},R)\in(0,2R)$ such that $C_{BG}(n,-1,R-\frac{d}{2},R+\frac{d}{2})<\frac{1}{2\hat{\varepsilon}}$ for all $d\leq d_0$. In particular, for points $p,q\in M$ with $d(p,q)<d_0$,

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)\cap B_R(q))>2\hat{\varepsilon}\cdot\max\{\mathrm{vol}(B_R(p)),\mathrm{vol}(B_R(q))\}.\qquad\square$$

An important notion for investigating the $\mathcal{C}$-property is the *distortion* of a function which describes how much a function changes the distance of two points. In particular, it will be important to know how much the flow of a

vector field changes the distance of two points up to some fixed time. Recall that the distortion of a map $f : M \to N$ between Riemannian manifolds is the function $dt^f : M \times M \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$dt^f(p, q) := |d_N(f(p), f(q)) - d_M(p, q)|.$$

If Φ is the flow of a time-dependent vector field on M, $t \in [0, 1]$, $p, q \in M$ and $r \geq 0$, denote $\varphi_t := \Phi(0, t, \cdot)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} dt(t)(p, q) &:= \max\{dt^{\varphi_\tau}(p, q) \mid 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} \quad \text{and} \\ dt_r(t)(p, q) &:= \min\{r, dt(t)(p, q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The subsequent lemma generalises [KW11, Lemma 3.7] and can be proven completely analogously to it.

Lemma 2.20. *For $\tilde{\alpha} \in (1, 2)$ there exist $C = C(n, \tilde{\alpha})$ and $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(n, \tilde{\alpha})$ such that the following holds for any $0 < R \leq 1$: Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$ and $X : [0, 1] \times M \to TM$ be a time dependent, piecewise constant in time vector field with compact support and flow Φ, define $\varphi_t^s := \Phi(s, t, \cdot)$ and let $c : [0, 1] \to M$ be an integral curve of X such that X^t is divergence free on $B_{10R}(c(t))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Let $\tilde{\varepsilon} := \int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla . X^t\|)) \circ c(t)\, dt$. Then for any $r \leq \frac{R}{10}$,

$$\fint_{B_r(c(s)) \times B_r(c(0))} dt_r(1)(p, q)\, dV(p, q) \leq Cr \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Furthermore, there is a subset $B_r(c(0))' \subseteq B_r(c(0))$ with $c(0) \in B_r(c(0))'$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_r(c(0))') \geq (1 - C\tilde{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(c(0))).$$

Finally, for any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\varphi_t^0(B_r(c(0))') \subseteq B_{\tilde{\alpha}r}(c(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{vol}(B_r(c(t))) \leq \hat{C} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(c(0))).$$

Proof. The proof can be done completely analogously to the one of [KW11, Lemma 3.7] by replacing $\frac{r}{10}$ in the induction by $\frac{r}{m}$ where $m = 2 \cdot \frac{\tilde{\alpha}+1}{\tilde{\alpha}-1} > 0$. Again, the constants C and $\hat{C}$ can be made explicit in terms of the constant appearing in the Bishop-Gromov Theorem. □

The following lemma states that the flow of a time dependent vector field as in the definition of the $\mathcal{C}$-property is Lipschitz on certain small sets.

Lemma 2.21. *Given $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, there exist constants $C_0 = C_0(n, \alpha)$ and $C_0' = C_0'(n, \alpha)$ such that for $0 < \hat{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2C_0}$ and $0 < R \leq 1$ there is a number $\hat{r}_0 = \hat{r}_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, R) < \frac{R}{20\alpha}$ satisfying the following:*

Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$, $X : [0, 1] \times M \to TM$ a time dependent, piecewise constant in time vector field with compact support and flow Φ, $\varphi_t^s := \Phi(s, t, \cdot)$, $\varphi_t := \varphi_t^0$, $c : [0, 1] \to M$ an integral curve of X such that X^t is divergence free on $B_{10R}(c(t))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2R}(\|\nabla . X^t\|^{3/2})(c(t)))^{2/3}\, dt < \hat{\varepsilon}$.

Let $p := c(0)$ and $0 < r < \hat{r}_0$. Then there exists a subset $B_r(p)'' \subseteq B_r(p)$ containing p with $\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)'') > (1 - C_0'\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p))$ such that φ_t is α-bi-Lipschitz on $B_r(p)''$ for any $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. Define $\tilde{\alpha} := \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \in (1, \frac{3}{2}) \subseteq (1,2)$ and fix the following constants:

- Let $C = C(n,\alpha)$ be the $C(n,\tilde{\alpha})$ and $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(n,\alpha)$ be the $\hat{C}(n,\tilde{\alpha})$ appearing in Lemma 2.20.
- Let $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(n) > 0$ be the constant of [KW11, formula (6)] satisfying
$$\mathrm{Mx}_\rho[\mathrm{Mx}_\rho(f)](x) \leq \tilde{C}(n) \cdot \left(\mathrm{Mx}_{2\rho}(f^{3/2})(x)\right)^{2/3}$$
for any $f \in L^{3/2}(M)$ and $0 < \rho \leq 1$.
- Let $C_0 = C_0(n,\alpha) := \tilde{C} \cdot C$.
- Let $\hat{C}_0 = \hat{C}_0(n,\alpha) := 2\hat{C} \cdot C_{BG}(n, -1, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{\alpha}{10})$.
- Let $C_0' = C_0'(n,\alpha) := \hat{C}_0 + \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{2}}$.

Fix $0 < \hat{\varepsilon} < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2C_0}, 1\right\}$ and $0 < R \leq 1$. First, observe

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varepsilon} := \int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|))(c(t))\,dt &\leq \int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_R(\mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|))(c(t))\,dt \\ &\leq \tilde{C} \cdot \int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_{2R}(\|\nabla.X^t\|^{3/2})^{2/3}(c(t))\,dt \\ &< \tilde{C}\hat{\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $C\tilde{\varepsilon} < C_0\,\hat{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 2.20, for all $r \leq \frac{R}{10}$,

$$⨍_{B_r(p)\times B_r(p)} dt_r(1)\,dV \leq Cr \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon} < C_0\,\hat{\varepsilon} \cdot r$$

and there is a subset $B_r(p)' \subseteq B_r(p)$ containing p with

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)') \geq (1 - C_0\,\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)) > \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p))$$

and $\varphi_t(B_r(p)') \subseteq B_{\alpha r}(c(t))$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Furthermore, for $r \leq \frac{R}{10}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{\alpha r}(c(t)))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)')} &\leq C_{BG}(n,-1,r,\alpha r) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(c(t)))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)')} \\ &\leq C_{BG}\left(n,-1,\frac{1}{10},\frac{\alpha}{10}\right) \cdot \hat{C} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)')} \\ &\leq \hat{C}_0.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&⨍_{B_r(p)'} \int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|) \circ \varphi_t(x)\,dt\,dV(x) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)')} \cdot \int_{\varphi_t(B_r(p)')} \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|)(x)\,dV(x)\,dt\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{\alpha r}(c(t)))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)')} \cdot \fint_{B_{\alpha r}(c(t))} \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|)(x)\, dV(x)\, dt \\
&\leq \hat{C}_0 \cdot \int_0^1 \max_{0\leq\rho\leq R} \fint_{B_\rho(c(t))} \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|)(x)\, dV(x)\, dt \\
&\leq \hat{C}_0 \cdot \tilde{C} \int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2R}(\|\nabla.X^t\|^{3/2}))^{2/3}(c(t))\, dt \\
&< \hat{C}_0 \cdot \tilde{C}\hat{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$B_r(p)'' := \{x \in B_r(p)' \mid \int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|) \circ \varphi_t(x)\, dt < \tilde{C} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}\}.$$

Note $p \in B_r(p)''$ due to $\int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|) \circ c(t)\, dt < \tilde{C} \cdot \hat{\varepsilon} \leq \tilde{C} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}$ and $\varphi_t(p) = c(t)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)'') &> (1 - \hat{C}^2\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}) \cdot (1 - C_0\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p)) \\
&> (1 - C_0'\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p))
\end{aligned}$$

using $C_0' = \hat{C}_0 + \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{2}} = \hat{C}_0 + C_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C_0}} > \hat{C}_0 + C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}$.

Moreover, points in $B_r(p)''$ satisfy the following: Fix $t \in [0,1]$, $a \in B_r(p)''$ and let $\tilde{a} := \varphi_t(a)$. In particular, $\int_0^1 \mathrm{Mx}_R(\|\nabla.X^t\|) \circ \varphi_t(a)\, dt < \tilde{C} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}$ and, by Lemma 2.20, for any $\rho \leq \frac{R}{10}$ there are subsets $B_\rho(a)' \subseteq B_\rho(a)$ and $B_\rho(\tilde{a})' \subseteq B_\rho(\tilde{a})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{vol}(B_\rho(a)') \geq (1 - C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_\rho(a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_t(B_\rho(a)') \subseteq B_{\tilde{\alpha}\rho}(\tilde{a}), \\
&\mathrm{vol}(B_\rho(\tilde{a})') \geq (1 - C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_\rho(\tilde{a})) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{-t}^t(B_\rho(\tilde{a})') \subseteq B_{\tilde{\alpha}\rho}(a)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}} < C_0 \cdot \hat{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $d_0 = d_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, R)$ and $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, R)$, respectively, denote the constants $d_0(n, C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}, \frac{10}{R})$ and $\delta_0(n, C_0\sqrt{\hat{\varepsilon}}, \frac{10}{R})$, respectively, of Lemma 2.18. This $d_0 < \frac{R}{10}$ can be chosen so small that $\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} < \frac{1}{2}$. Define

$$\hat{r}_0 = \hat{r}_0(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, R) := \frac{d_0}{2\alpha} < \frac{R}{20\alpha}.$$

From now on, assume $r < \hat{r}_0$ and let $b \in B_r(p)''$ be another point. In particular, $d := d(a,b) < 2r < \frac{d_0}{\alpha} < d_0$. For arbitrary $\delta_0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, let $\rho := (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)d < \frac{R}{10}$. By Lemma 2.17 and Lemma 2.18, there exists a point $z \in B_\rho(a)' \cap B_\rho(b)'$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\varphi_t(a), \varphi_t(b)) &\leq d(\varphi_t(a), \varphi_t(z)) + d(\varphi_t(z), \varphi_t(b)) \\
&< 2 \cdot \tilde{\alpha}\rho \\
&= \tilde{\alpha} \cdot (2\delta + 1)d.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta > \delta_0$ was arbitrary and $(2\delta_0 + 1) \cdot \tilde{\alpha} \leq \alpha$, this proves

$$d(\varphi_t(a), \varphi_t(b)) \leq \alpha \cdot d(a,b).$$

As before, let $\tilde{a} = \varphi_t(a)$ and $\tilde{b} = \varphi_t(b)$. These points have distance $d(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = d(\varphi_t(a), \varphi_t(b)) \leq \alpha \cdot 2r < d_0$, and an analogous argumentation gives

$$d(a,b) = d(\varphi^t_{-t}(\tilde{a}), \varphi^t_{-t}(\tilde{b})) \leq \alpha \cdot d(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = \alpha \cdot d(\varphi_t(a), \varphi_t(b)).$$

Thus, φ_t is α-bi-Lipschitz on $B_r(p)''$ for $r < \hat{r}_0$. □

If a sequence of manifolds satisfies the previous lemma and the rescaled manifolds endowed with the end points of the integral curve as base points converge, the limits have the same dimension.

Proposition 2.22. *Let $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_i : [0,1] \times M \to TM$ be a time dependent, piecewise constant in time vector field with compact support and flow Φ_i, $\varphi_i^t := \Phi_i(0,t,\cdot)$, $c_i : [0,1] \to M_i$ be an integral curve of X_i such that X_i^t is divergence free on $B_{10r}(c_i(t))$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $\int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2r}(\|\nabla . X_i^t\|^{3/2})(c_i(t)))^{2/3}\, dt < \hat{\varepsilon}$ for some $0 < r \leq 1$ and $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$.*

Assume that $x_i' := c_i(0)$ and $y_i' := c_i(1)$ satisfy $d(x_i', y_i') \leq 2$ and let $\lambda_i \to \infty$ be scales such that $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i') \to (X, x_\infty)$ and $(\lambda_i M_i, y_i') \to (Y, y_\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$. Then $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$.

Proof. The proof consists of three steps: First, for any radius $r > 0$, construct a bi-Lipschitz map between subsets of $\bar{B}_r^X(x_\infty)$ and $\bar{B}_{\alpha r}^Y(y_\infty)$, cf. Figure 1. Next, observe that these subsets have positive volume. In particular, they intersect the set of generic points. Finally, repeating the argument for the limit spaces proves the claim.

Choose any $\alpha \in (1,2)$. Without loss of generality, let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough such that $r < \lambda_i \cdot \hat{r}_0$ where $\hat{r}_0 = \hat{r}_0(\alpha)$ is the constant from Lemma 2.21. Furthermore, let $B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')'' \subseteq B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')$ and $\varphi_i^1 : B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')'' \to B^{M_i}_{\alpha r/\lambda_i}(y_i')$ be as in Lemma 2.21. Since φ_i^1 is α-bi-Lipschitz, it can be extended to an α-bi-Lipschitz map $\varphi_i^1 : \overline{B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')''} \to \bar{B}^{M_i}_{\alpha r/\lambda_i}(y_i')$.

In order to regard φ_i^1 as a map $\lambda_i M_i \to \lambda_i M_i$ instead of $M_i \to M_i$, let G_i denote this closure $\overline{B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')''}$ regarded as a subset of $\bar{B}_r^{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i') \subseteq \lambda_i M_i$. Correspondingly, define $f_i : G_i \to B_{\alpha r}^{\lambda_i M_i}(y_i')$ by $f_i(q) := \varphi_i^1(q)$, cf. Figure 1. By definition, this map is α-bi-Lipschitz and measure preserving.

After passing to a subsequence, the G_i converge to a compact subset $S_r \subseteq \bar{B}_r^X(x_\infty)$ and an α-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $f_r : S_r \to f_r(S_r)$ such that $f_r(S_r)$ is the limit of the $f_i(G_i)$, cf. again Figure 1.

Now find a point $x_0 \in S_r$ such that both x_0 and $f_r(x_0)$ are generic: There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}_Y(f_r(S_r \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}}) \cap Y_{\mathrm{gen}}) &= \mathrm{vol}_Y(f_r(S_r \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}})) \\ &= C \cdot \mathrm{vol}_X(S_r \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}}) \\ &= C \cdot \mathrm{vol}_X(S_r) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bar{B}^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i') & \cong & \bar{B}^{\lambda_i M_i}_{r}(x_i') & \xrightarrow{i\to\infty} & \bar{B}^{X}_{r}(x_\infty) \\
\cup| & & \cup| & & \cup| \\
\overline{B^{M_i}_{r/\lambda_i}(x_i')''} & \cong & G_i & \xrightarrow{i\to\infty} & S_r \\
\varphi_i^1 \big\downarrow & & f_i \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow f_r \\
\bar{B}^{M_i}_{\alpha r/\lambda_i}(y_i') & \cong & \bar{B}^{\lambda_i M_i}_{\alpha r}(y_i') & \xrightarrow{i\to\infty} & \bar{B}^{Y}_{\alpha r}(y_\infty)
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Sets and maps used to construct $f_r : S_r \to \bar{B}_{\alpha r}(y_\infty)$.

Hence, there exists $x_0 \in S_r \cap X_{\text{gen}}$ with image $f_r(x_0) \in Y_{\text{gen}}$. By similar arguments as before, for $\lambda \to \infty$, the sets $(\lambda S_r, x_0) \subseteq (\lambda X, x_0)$ and $(\lambda f_r(S_r), f_r(x_0)) \subseteq (\lambda Y, f_r(x_0))$, respectively, (sub)converge to limits S_∞ and S'_∞, respectively. Moreover, for $\lambda f_r(x) := f_r(x)$, the α-bi-Lipschitz maps $\lambda f_r : (\lambda S_r, x_0) \to (\lambda f_r(S_r), f_r(x_0))$ (sub)converge to an α-bi-Lipschitz map $f : S_\infty \to S'_\infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Since x_0 and $f_r(x_0)$ are generic, one has $S_\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\dim(X)}$ and $S'_\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\dim(Y)}$. Furthermore, $\text{vol}(S_\infty) > 0$. This implies

$$\dim(X) = \dim(Y). \qquad \square$$

2.4. **Proof of Proposition 2.1.** The idea is to intersect the sets constructed in Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.16. For fixed base points x_i in the intersection and the λ_i of Lemma 2.16, the $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ are both close to products $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i, \cdot)$ and converging to a product $(\mathbb{R}^k \times Y, \cdot)$ where the K_i are compact with diameter 1 and Y is some metric space. The following (technical) lemmata show that this space Y in fact is compact. Subsequently, the main proposition can be proven.

A map $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ between two metric spaces is called *ε-isometry*, where $\varepsilon > 0$, if $|d_Y(f(p), f(q)) - d_X(p, q)| < \varepsilon$ for all $p, q \in X$.

Lemma 2.23. *Fix $R > r \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $S_R := S^{\mathbb{R}^k}_R(0)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $f : S_R \to \bar{B}^{\mathbb{R}^k}_R(0) \setminus B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{R-r}(0)$ be a continuous ε-isometry with $\varepsilon < 2 \cdot (R - r)$. Define $\text{pr} : \bar{B}^{\mathbb{R}^k}_R(0) \setminus B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{R-r}(0) \to S_R$ by $\text{pr}(p) := \frac{R}{\|p\|} \cdot p$. Then $\text{pr} \circ f : S_R \to S_R$ is surjective.*

Proof. Denote the distance function on $\mathbb{R}^k$ by d and distinguish the two cases of $r = 0$ and $r > 0$: First, let $r = 0$, i.e. $f(S_R) \subseteq S_R$ and $\text{pr} = \text{id}$. Assume that there exists a point $p \in S_R \setminus f(S_R)$ and define $j : S_R \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ as the stereographic projection. Then the composition $j \circ f : S_R \to \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ is continuous and, by the theorem of Borsuk-Ulam, there exist $\pm q \in S_R$ such that $j \circ f(q) = j \circ f(-q)$. Since j is a homeomorphism, $f(q) = f(-q)$. Hence, $\varepsilon > |d(f(q), f(-q)) - d(q, -q)| = 2R$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, f is surjective.

Now let $r > 0$ be arbitrary. For any $p, q \in \bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0) \setminus B_{R-r}^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|d(\mathrm{pr} \circ f(p), \mathrm{pr} \circ f(q)) - d(p,q)| \\
&\leq |d(\mathrm{pr} \circ f(p), \mathrm{pr} \circ f(q)) - d(f(p), f(q))| + |d(f(p), f(q)) - d(p,q)| \\
&\leq d(\mathrm{pr} \circ f(p), f(p)) + d(\mathrm{pr} \circ f(q), f(q)) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq 2r + \varepsilon\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{pr} \circ f$ is a continuous $2r + \varepsilon$-isometry and, by the first part, surjective. □

The following lemma states that, if two products $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and $\mathbb{R}^k \times Y$ are sufficiently close and X is compact, then Y is compact as well with similar diameter as X.

Lemma 2.24. *Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be complete length spaces, X be compact, $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$ and define*

$$\mathrm{rad}_Y(y_0) := \sup\{d_Y(y, y_0) \mid y \in Y\}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $R > \mathrm{diam}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the following is true:

a) *If $\mathrm{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon \leq \frac{2R}{3}$ and $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0, x_0)), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0, y_0))) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then*

$$\min\{R, \mathrm{rad}_Y(y_0)\}^2 \leq \mathrm{diam}(X)^2 + 2\,\varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon(R + \varepsilon).$$

b) *If $\mathrm{diam}(X) = 0$ and $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0, x_0)), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0, y_0))) < \frac{R}{12}$, then Y is compact with $\mathrm{diam}(Y) < 2R$.*

c) *If $\mathrm{diam}(X) = 1$ and $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0, x_0)), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0, y_0))) < \frac{1}{100R}$ for some $R \geq 100$, then Y is compact with $c \leq \mathrm{diam}(Y) \leq 5c$ for a small constant $c > 0$.*

d) *If $1000 \cdot \mathrm{diam}(X) \leq R < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{diam}(Y)$, then*

$$d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0, x_0)), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0, y_0))) \geq 20 \cdot \mathrm{diam}(X).$$

Proof. a) The idea is to approximate the diameter of Y by taking points y' as far away from y_0 as possible, to map both the set $S_R \times \{y_0\}$ and the points $(0, y')$ to $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ via ε-approximations, to take the projection onto the Euclidean factor and to find an upper and lower estimate for the distance of the obtained set and point. Finally, comparison of this upper and lower bound gives the result.

Let (f, g) be ε-approximations between the balls $\bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0, x_0))$ and $\bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0, y_0))$ with $f((0, x_0)) = (0, y_0)$ and $g((0, y_0)) = (0, x_0)$. Let

$$d := \mathrm{diam}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \min\{R, \mathrm{rad}_Y(y_0)\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, choose $y_n \in Y$ such that $\delta - \frac{1}{n} \leq \delta_n := d_Y(y_n, y_0) \leq \delta$. (If $\mathrm{rad}_Y(y_0) > R$, choose $y_n \in \partial \bar{B}_R(0)$ which is nonempty since Y is a length space; otherwise, by definition, there exists a sequence $\bar{y}_n$ satisfying $d_Y(\bar{y}_n, y_0) > \delta - \frac{1}{n}$.) In particular, δ_n is convergent with limit δ.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k\times Y}((0,y_0)) & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k\times X}((0,x_0)) \\ \cup\mid & & \cup\mid \\ \partial\bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)\times\{y_0\} = S_R\times\{y_0\} & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & g(S_R\times\{y_0\}) = S \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Definition of S.

Let $S_R := \partial\bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $S := g(S_R \times \{y_0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \times X$, cf. Figure 2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{\mathbb{R}^k\times X}(g(0,y_n),p) \\ &= \sqrt{d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p))^2 + d_X(\mathrm{pr}_X(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_X(p))^2} \\ &\le d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S))^2 + d^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $p \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{R^2+\delta_n^2} - \varepsilon \\ &= d_{\mathbb{R}^k\times Y}((0,y_n), S_R\times\{y_0\}) - \varepsilon \\ &\le d_{\mathbb{R}^k\times X}(g(0,y_n), S) \\ &\le \sqrt{d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S))^2 + d^2} \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the lower bound

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S)) \ge \sqrt{(\sqrt{R^2+\delta_n^2}-\varepsilon)^2 - d^2}.$$

In order to find the upper bound, choose a natural number $m \ge \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ and let Δ be a spherical triangulation of S_R such that the set of vertices $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of Δ is a finite $\frac{1}{m}$-net in S_R and each two vertices of a simplex have (spherical) distance at most $\frac{1}{m}$. (Notice that their Euclidean distance is at most $\frac{1}{m}$ as well.) Define $\Gamma := \tilde{\Gamma} \times \{y_0\}$ and $h := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and extend h to a continuous map $H : S_R \times \{y_0\} \to \bar{B}_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0) \setminus B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{R-(d+3\,\varepsilon)}(0)$ by mapping each (spherical) simplex of Δ with vertices γ_i continuously to the corresponding (Euclidean) simplex in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with vertices $h(\gamma_i)$, cf. Figure 3. Since Γ is finite, H is continuous.

Then $h(\Gamma)$ defines an $(\frac{1}{m}+\varepsilon)$-net in $H(S_R\times\{y_0\})$: Since each two vertices of a simplex in Δ have (Euclidean) distance at most $\frac{1}{m}$, their images have distance at most $\frac{1}{m}+\varepsilon$. Hence, each point $x \in H(S_R \times \{y_0\})$ is contained in a Euclidean simplex whose vertices have pairwise distance at most $\frac{1}{m}+\varepsilon$. Recall that, since the simplex is Euclidean, x has distance at most $\frac{1}{m}+\varepsilon$ to each of these vertices. Let $h(\gamma)$ denote one of those vertices. In particular, $x \in \bar{B}_{1/m+\varepsilon}(h(\gamma))$, hence, $H(S_R \times \{y_0\}) \subseteq \bigcup\{\bar{B}_{1/m+\varepsilon}(\gamma') \mid \gamma' \in H(\Gamma)\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma} \times \{y_0\} & \xrightarrow{h = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g} & h(\Gamma) \\
\cap\mathrm{I} & & \cap\mathrm{I} \\
S_R \times \{y_0\} & \xrightarrow{H} & H(S_R \times \{y_0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \\
\cup\mathrm{I} & & \cup\mathrm{I} \\
\Delta(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) & \longmapsto & \Delta(h(\gamma_1), \ldots, h(\gamma_m))
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Definition of H.

Furthermore, H is a $(5\,\varepsilon + d)$-isometry: Let $p, q \in S_R$ be arbitrary. Choose points $\gamma_p, \gamma_q \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p, \gamma_p) \leq \frac{1}{m}$ and $d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(q, \gamma_q) \leq \frac{1}{m}$. By construction,

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p, y_0), h(\gamma_p, y_0)) \leq \frac{1}{m} + \varepsilon,$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p, y_0), H(q, y_0)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((p, y_0), (q, y_0))| \\
&\leq |d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p, y_0), H(q, y_0)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(h(\gamma_p, y_0), h(\gamma_q, y_0))| \\
&\quad + |d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(h(\gamma_p, y_0), h(\gamma_q, y_0)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}(g(\gamma_p, y_0), g(\gamma_q, y_0))| \\
&\quad + |d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}(g(\gamma_p, y_0), g(\gamma_q, y_0)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((\gamma_p, y_0), (\gamma_q, y_0))| \\
&\quad + |d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\gamma_p, \gamma_q) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p, q)| \\
&\leq d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p, y_0), h(\gamma_p, y_0)) + d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(q, y_0), h(\gamma_q, y_0)) \\
&\quad + \big(d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g\,(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g\,(\gamma_q, y_0))^2 \\
&\qquad + d_X(\mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(\gamma_q, y_0))^2\big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad - d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g\,(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \circ g\,(\gamma_q, y_0)) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \\
&\quad + d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p, \gamma_p) + d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(q, \gamma_q) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \Big(\frac{1}{m} + \varepsilon\Big) + d_X(\mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(\gamma_q, y_0)) + \varepsilon + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{m} \\
&\leq 5\,\varepsilon + d.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, verify $H(S_R \times \{y_0\}) \subseteq \bar{B}_R(0) \setminus B_{R-(d+3\,\varepsilon)}(0)$: Let $p \in S_R$ be arbitrary and choose $\gamma_p \in \Gamma$ such that $d(p, \gamma_p) \leq \frac{1}{m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(h(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(0, x_0)) \\
&= \sqrt{d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}(g(\gamma_p, y_0), g(0, y_0))^2 - d_X(\mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(\gamma_p, y_0), \mathrm{pr}_X \circ g\,(0, y_0))^2} \\
&\geq \sqrt{(d_{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((\gamma_p, y_0), (0, y_0)) - \varepsilon)^2 - d^2} \\
&= \sqrt{(R - \varepsilon)^2 - d^2},
\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 4. Points used to estimate $d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)), \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S))$.

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p,y_0),0)\\
&\geq d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(h(\gamma_p,y_0),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(0,x_0)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(H(p,y_0),h(\gamma_p,y_0))\\
&\geq \sqrt{(R-\varepsilon)^2-d^2} - \Big(\frac{2}{m}+\varepsilon\Big)\\
&\geq R-(d+3\,\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the image of H intersects each segment σ from the origin to a point in $\partial\bar{B}_R(0)$: By Lemma 2.23, the segment σ intersects $\partial\bar{B}_R(0)$ in a point contained in $\mathrm{pr}\circ H(S_R\times\{y_0\})$ where pr is the radial projection to the sphere defined as in Lemma 2.23. Since the projection is radial, the segment σ intersects $H(S_R\times\{y_0\})$ as well.

Let p be this intersecting point for the segment through $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n))$, cf. Figure 4. Since $h(\Gamma\times\{y_0\})$ is a $(\frac{2}{m}+\varepsilon)$-net in $H(S_R)$, there exists a point $q\in h(\Gamma)$ such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p,q)\leq\frac{2}{m}+\varepsilon$. Thus, using that the segment from $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n))$ to p is part of a segment connecting the origin and the boundary of the R-ball and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S)=\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}\circ g(S_R\times\{y_0\})\supseteq h(\Gamma)\ni q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S)) &\leq d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),q)\\
&\leq d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),p) + d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(p,q)\\
&\leq R+\Big(\frac{2}{m}+\varepsilon\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Now $m\to\infty$ proves

$$\sqrt{(\sqrt{R^2+\delta_n^2}-\varepsilon)^2-d^2}\leq d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(g(0,y_n)),\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(S))\leq R+\varepsilon,$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_n &\leq \sqrt{(\sqrt{(R+\varepsilon)^2+d^2}+\varepsilon)^2-R^2}\\
&= \sqrt{2R\,\varepsilon+d^2+2\,\varepsilon^2+2\sqrt{(R\,\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)^2+(\varepsilon\,d)^2}}\\
&\leq \sqrt{d^2+2\,\varepsilon\,d+4\,\varepsilon(R+\varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all n and $\delta_n\to\delta$ as $n\to\infty$, this proves the claim.

b) Let $\varepsilon := \frac{R}{6}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon = \frac{2R}{3}$, and by a),

$$\min\{R, \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0)\}^2 \leq 4\,\varepsilon(R+\varepsilon) = \frac{24}{25} \cdot R^2 < R^2.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0) < R$, and this implies $\operatorname{diam}(Y) \leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0) < 2R$.

c) Let $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{50R}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon \leq 1 + \frac{2}{25} < R \cdot \frac{\pi}{3}$ and a) can be applied. Since $R\,\varepsilon = \frac{1}{50} = 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and $\varepsilon \leq 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\min\{R, \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0)\}^2 &\leq \operatorname{diam}(X)^2 + 2\,\varepsilon \operatorname{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon(R+\varepsilon)\\ &\leq \operatorname{diam}(X)^2 + 4 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \operatorname{diam}(X) + 8 \cdot 10^{-2} + 4 \cdot 10^{-8}.\end{aligned}$$

Using $\operatorname{diam}(X) = 1$,

$$\min\{R, \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0)\}^2 \leq 1 + 2 \cdot 10^{-2} + 10^{-4} + 10^{-8} < 1.05^2 < R^2.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{diam}(Y) \leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0) < 2 \cdot 1.05 = \frac{21}{10}$.

On the other hand, by permuting X and Y,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} = \Big(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(X)}{2}\Big)^2 &\leq \operatorname{rad}_X(x_0)^2\\ &= \min\{R, \operatorname{rad}_X(x_0)\}^2\\ &\leq \operatorname{diam}(Y)^2 + 4 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \operatorname{diam}(Y) + 8 \cdot 10^{-2} + 16 \cdot 10^{-8},\end{aligned}$$

and this implies $\operatorname{diam}(Y) \geq \frac{21}{50} =: c$.

d) Assume $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times X}((0,x_0)), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}((0,y_0))) < 20d$ for $d := \operatorname{diam}(X)$. Let $\varepsilon := 40d$. By choice of R, $\operatorname{diam}(X) + 4\,\varepsilon = 161 \cdot d \leq \frac{161}{1000} \cdot R < \frac{2R}{3}$. Furthermore, $2\operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0) \geq \operatorname{diam}(Y) > 2R$. By a),

$$\begin{aligned}R^2 &= \min\{R, \operatorname{rad}_Y(y_0)\}^2\\ &\leq d^2 + 2\,\varepsilon\,d + 4\,\varepsilon(R+\varepsilon)\\ &\leq \frac{R^2}{10^6} + \frac{2R^2}{25 \cdot 10^3} + \frac{4R}{25} \cdot \frac{26R}{25} = \frac{166481}{10^6} \cdot R^2 < R^2.\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. □

Using these results, the main proposition of this section finally can be proven.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\operatorname{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$, and let $k < n$. Given $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, there is $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0$ such that for any $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}$ and $q_i \in M_i$ with*

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}$$

there are a family of subsets of good points $G_r(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ with

$$\operatorname{vol}(G_r(q_i)) \geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(B_r(q_i))$$

and a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ such that the following holds:

a) *For every choice of base points* $x_i \in G_r(q_i)$ *and every sublimit* $(Y,\cdot)$ *of* $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ *there exists a compact metric space* K *of dimension* $l \leq n-k$ *satisfying* $\frac{1}{5} \leq \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq 1$ *such that* Y *splits isometrically as a product*

$$Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K.$$

b) *If* $x_i^1, x_i^2 \in G_r(q_i)$ *are base points such that, after passing to a subsequence,*

$$(\lambda_i M_i, x_i^j) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_j, \cdot)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ *as before, then* $\dim(K_1) = \dim(K_2)$.

Proof. Given $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, let

$$\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\delta}_1(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0 \text{ be the } \hat{\delta}_1\Big(\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2}; n, k\Big) \text{ of Lemma 2.9,}$$

$$\hat{\delta}_2 = \hat{\delta}_2(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) > 0 \text{ be the } \hat{\delta}_2\Big(\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2}; n, k\Big) \text{ of Lemma 2.16,}$$

and define

$$\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) := \frac{1}{16} \cdot \min\{\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2\} > 0.$$

Furthermore, let $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n,k) \in (0, \frac{1}{100})$ be as in Lemma 2.15. Let $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}$ and $q_i \in M_i$ with

$$d_{GH}((r^{-1}M_i, q_i), (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)) \leq \hat{\delta}.$$

Let $G_r^1(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ be as in Lemma 2.9 and $G_r^2(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i)$ and $\lambda_i \to \infty$ as in Lemma 2.16. Define

$$G_r(q_i) := G_r^1(q_i) \cap G_r^2(q_i) \subseteq B_r(q_i).$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{vol}(G_r(q_i)) \geq (1-\hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(B_r(q_i))$.

Let $x_i \in G_r(q_i)$ and (Y, y) be a sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$. Using $x_i \in G_r^1(q_i)$, there are a metric space Y' and $y' \in \{0\} \times Y'$ such that $(Y, y) \cong (\mathbb{R}^k \times Y', y')$. On the other hand, since $x_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$,

$$d_{GH}(B^{\lambda_i M_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(x_i), B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(\tilde{x}_i)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{200}$$

for some compact metric space K_i with diameter 1 and $\tilde{x}_i \in \{0\} \times K_i$. Hence, by the triangle inequality and for i large enough,

$$d_{GH}(B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y'}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(y'), B^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_i}_{1/\varepsilon_0}(\tilde{x}_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{100}.$$

By Lemma 2.24 c), there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that Y' is compact as well with $c \leq \operatorname{diam}(Y') \leq 5c$, and after rescaling with $\frac{1}{5c}$ this finishes the first part of the claim.

So let $x_i, y_i \in G_r(q_i)$ and K_1 and K_2 be compact metric spaces such that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$(\lambda_i M_i, x_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, x_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_i M_i, y_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2, y_\infty).$$

Because of $x_i, y_i \in G_r^2(q_i)$, there is a time-dependent, piecewise constant in time vector field X_i with compact support and an integral curve c_i such that the vector field X_i^t is divergence free on $B_{10r}(c_i(t))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$d(x_i, c_i(0)) < \frac{c(n)}{\lambda_i} \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_i, c_i(1)) < \frac{c(n)}{\lambda_i}$$

for $c(n) = 9^n \cdot 10^{n^2}$ and

$$\int_0^1 (\mathrm{Mx}_{2r}(\|\nabla . X_i^t\|^{3/2})(c_i(t)))^{2/3}\, dt < \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2}.$$

Let $x_i' := c_i(0)$ and $y_i' := c_i(1)$. Because of $d_{\lambda_i M_i}(x_i, x_i') \leq c(n)$ and $d_{\lambda_i M_i}(y_i, y_i') \leq c(n)$, there exists $x_\infty' \in \mathbb{R}^k \times K_1$ such that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$(\lambda_i M_i, x_i') \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, x_\infty').$$

After passing to a further subsequence,

$$(\lambda_i M_i, y_i') \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2, y_\infty')$$

for some $y_\infty' \in \mathbb{R}^k \times K_2$. Then Proposition 2.22 implies

$$\dim(K_1) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1) - k = \dim(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2) - k = \dim(K_2). \qquad \square$$

3. Global construction

Based on the 'local' version (Proposition 2.1) established in the last section, the proof of the following main result can now be given.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$ in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ there exist a subset of good points $G_1(p_i) \subseteq B_1(p_i)$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_1(p_i)) \geq (1-\varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_1(p_i)),$$

a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and a constant $D > 0$ such that the following holds:

For any choice of base points $q_i \in G_1(p_i)$ and every sublimit (Y, q) of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ there is a compact metric space K of dimension $l \leq n-k$ and diameter $\frac{1}{D} \leq \mathrm{diam}(K) \leq D$ such that Y splits isometrically as a product

$$Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K.$$

Moreover, for any base points $q_i, q_i' \in G_1(p_i)$ such that, after passing to a subsequence, both $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ and $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i') \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K', \cdot)$ as before, $\dim(K) = \dim(K')$.

The idea of the proof is to take (finitely many) sequences $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1 for some $r > 0$ and to define $G_1(p_i)$ as the union of the $G_r(q_i)$ obtained from Proposition 2.1. Instantly, the following question occurs:

(1) Why do sequences $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1 exist?

It will turn out that sequences $p_i^x \to x$, where $x \in X$ is a generic point, are candidates for these $(q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$: If $x \in X$ is generic, then $(\frac{1}{r}X, x)$ is close to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ for sufficiently small $r > 0$ and so is $(\frac{1}{r}M_i, p_i^x)$ for sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, decreasing r only improves the situation.

Now assume that x, x' are two such generic points, let $r > 0$ be small enough and $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and $\lambda_i' \to \infty$, respectively, be the sequences given by Proposition 2.1. These sequences might be different, but Theorem 3.1 calls for one single rescaling sequence. This gives rise to the following question:

(2) Does Proposition 2.1 still hold for $(p_i^{x'})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ if $\lambda_i' \to \infty$ is replaced by $\lambda_i \to \infty$?

In order to answer this question, first consider the special case $\lambda_i = 2\lambda_i'$: Obviously, if $q_i \in G_r(p_i^{x'})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ is a sublimit of $(\lambda_i' M_i, q_i)$, then $(\mathbb{R}^k \times 2K, \cdot)$ is a sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i) = (2\lambda_i' M_i, q_i)$. Conversely, every sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$ has the form $(\mathbb{R}^k \times 2K, \cdot)$ for a sublimit $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ of $(\lambda_i' M_i, q_i)$. It turns out that such a correspondence holds whenever the sequence $\left(\frac{\lambda_i'}{\lambda_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. In this way, λ_i' indeed can be replaced by λ_i if one allows weaker diameter bounds for the compact factors of the sublimits. Therefore, the question (2) can be reformulated in the following way:

(2') Under which condition is the quotient $\left(\frac{\lambda_i'}{\lambda_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of two such rescaling sequences bounded?

In fact, one can prove the following: If the subsets $G_r(p_i^x)$ and $G_r(p_i^{x'})$ have non-empty intersection, then $\left(\frac{\lambda_i'}{\lambda_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. An obvious approach for comparing points where these subsets do not intersect is to connect the points by a curve consisting of generic points only and to cover this curve by balls $B_{r_j}(y_j)$ such that for every two subsequent points y_j and y_{j+1} (and sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$) the subsets intersect. If this can be done using only finitely many y_j, an inductive argument proves the boundedness of $\left(\frac{\lambda_i'}{\lambda_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Usually, such covers are constructed by using a compactness argument: Let r^y denote the minimal radius such that all $r \le r^y$ and p_i^y satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1. If this r^y is continuous in y, there exists $r > 0$ that can be used at each point of the (compact image of the) curve. Unfortunately, there is no reason for this r^y to depend continuously on y. It will turn out that a similar approach to compare λ_i and λ_i' can be performed if x and x' lie in the interior of a minimising geodesic such that all the points of this geodesic lying between x and x' are generic. The Hölder continuity result of Colding and Naber [CN12, Theorem 1.2] then allows a cover similar to the one described above. The subsequent question

(3) Does there exists a minimising geodesic such that x, x' lie in its interior?

can be answered affirmatively for a set of full measure (in $X \times X$) by applying further results of [CN12].

This section is subdivided into several subsections answering the above questions: First, subsection 3.1 investigates generic points $x \in X$ and answers question (1) by applying Proposition 2.1 to the sequence $p_i^x \to x$. Both questions (2) and (2') are dealt with in subsection 3.2, which discusses the comparison of the different λ_i. Afterwards, subsection 3.3 treats question (3) by proving that the necessary conditions for performing the comparison are given on a set of full measure. Finally, subsection 3.4 deals with the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.1. **Application to generic points.** A very important property of generic points is that, after rescaling, the manifolds with base points converging to a generic point are in some sense close to the Euclidean space.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, p) be the limit of a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $-(n-1)$, $k = \dim(X) < n$, $x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}}$ and $p_i^x \to x$. For fixed $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(x, R, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,*

$$d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda X}(x), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \leq \varepsilon\,.$$

Proof. Since x is a generic point, all tangent cones at x equal $\mathbb{R}^k$, i.e. for every choice of $\lambda \to \infty$, the sequence $(\lambda X, x)$ converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$. In particular, the R-balls converge and for sufficiently large λ the distance of these balls is bounded from above by ε. This proves that there exists

$$\lambda_0(x) := \min\{\lambda \geq 1 \mid \forall \mu \geq \lambda : d_{GH}(B_R^{\mu X}(x), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \leq \varepsilon\} < \infty. \qquad \square$$

Notation. From now on, for given $k < n$ and $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$, let $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ be as in Proposition 2.1. For $r > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k) := \Big\{x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid d_{GH}(B_{1/\hat{\delta}}^{r^{-1}X}(x), B_{1/\hat{\delta}}^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2}\Big\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$ and let $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0,1)$.*

a) *For every $x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}}$ there is $0 < r^x = r(\hat{\varepsilon}, x; n, k) \leq \hat{\delta}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ for any $0 < r \leq r^x$.*
b) *For $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}$, $x \in \mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ and $p_i^x \to x$ there is $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $i \geq i_0$ there are a subset of good points $G_r(p_i^x) \subseteq B_r(p_i^x)$ with*

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_r(p_i^x)) \geq (1 - \hat{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_r(p_i^x))$$

and a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ satisfying the following:

(i) *For any choice of base points* $x_i \in G_r(p_i^x)$ *and all sublimits* $(Y,\cdot)$ *of* $(\lambda_i M_i, x_i)$ *there exists a compact metric space* K *of dimension* $l \leq n-k$ *and diameter* $\frac{1}{5} \leq \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq 1$ *such that* Y *splits isometrically as a product*

$$Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times K.$$

(ii) *If* $x_i^1, x_i^2 \in G_r(p_i^x)$ *are base points such that, after passing to a subsequence,*

$$(\lambda_i M_i, x_i^j) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_j, \cdot)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ *as before, then* $\dim(K_1) = \dim(K_2)$.

Moreover, if ω *is a fixed ultrafilter on* $\mathbb{N}$*, there exists* $l \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that the following holds: Given* $q_i \in G_r(p_i^x)$*, the ultralimit of* $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$ *is a product* $\mathbb{R}^k \times K$ *such that* K *is compact with*

$$\frac{1}{5} \leq \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \dim(K) = l.$$

Proof. a) Let $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ be the $\lambda_0(x, \frac{1}{\delta}, \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2})$ appearing in Lemma 3.2. Then $r^x := r(\hat{\varepsilon}, x; n, k) := \min\left\{\hat{\delta}, \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right\} > 0$ proves the claim.

b) Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ be arbitrary, i.e. $d_{GH}(B^{r^{-1}X}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(x), B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(0)) \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2}$. Since $(\frac{1}{r}M_i, p_i^x) \to (\frac{1}{r}X, x)$, there is $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d_{GH}(B^{r^{-1}M_i}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(p_i^x), B^{r^{-1}X}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(x)) \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2}$ for all $i \geq i_0$. In particular, $d_{GH}(B^{r^{-1}M_i}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(p_i^x), B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(0)) \leq \hat{\delta}$ by triangle inequality and Proposition 2.1 implies the claim. □

Notation. For $0 < r \leq \hat{\delta}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$, let $\lambda_i^{\hat{\varepsilon},x}(r)$ and $G_r^{\hat{\varepsilon}}(p_i^x)$ be as in Lemma 3.3, i.e. for $q_i \in G_r^{\hat{\varepsilon}}(p_i^x)$ the sublimits of $(\lambda_i^{\hat{\varepsilon},x}(r)\, M_i, q_i)$ are isometric to products $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ where the K are compact metric spaces with $\operatorname{diam}(K) \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, 1\right]$. Moreover, for $x \in X_{\text{gen}}$, let $r^x(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ be as in Lemma 3.3, i.e. $x \in \mathcal{X}_r(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ for all $0 < r \leq r^x(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$.

Furthermore, for a non-principal ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$, let $l_\omega^{\hat{\varepsilon},x}(r)$ be as in Lemma 3.3, i.e. for $q_i \in G_r^{\hat{\varepsilon}}(p_i^x)$, $\lim_\omega(\lambda_i^{\hat{\varepsilon},x}(r)\, M_i, q_i) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times K, \cdot)$ and $\dim(K) = l_\omega^{\hat{\varepsilon},x}(r)$ for some K as above.

All notations will be used throughout the remaining section without referring to Lemma 3.3 explicitly. Occasionally, if they are fixed, the dependences on n, k and $\hat{\varepsilon}$ will be suppressed.

3.2. **Comparison of rescaling sequences.** Throughout this subsection, let $k < n$ and $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be fixed and use the notation introduced in subsection 3.1.

The following lemma states that for each two rescaling sequences corresponding to limit spaces which are products of the same Euclidean and a compact set, the quotient of these rescaling sequences is bounded. Especially, this holds in the situation of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$.*

a) *Let $q_i \in M_i$ satisfy that for any $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and every sublimit $(Y, \cdot)$ of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$ there exists a metric space Y' such that $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times Y'$ isometrically. For $1 \leq j \leq 2$, let $\lambda_i^j \to \infty$ and K_j be compact with $(\lambda_i^j M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_j, \cdot)$ as $i \to \infty$. Then the sequence $\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.*

b) *For $1 \leq j \leq 2$, let $r_j > 0$, $x_j \in \mathcal{X}_{r_j}$, $p_i^j := p_i^{x_j}$ and $\lambda_i^j := \lambda_i^{\hat{\varepsilon}, x_j}(r_j)$. Moreover, assume $G_{r_1}(p_i^1) \cap G_{r_2}(p_i^2) \neq \emptyset$. Then the sequence $\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.*

Proof. a) The proof is done by contradiction: Without loss of generality, assume $\lambda_i^j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, the sequence $\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded from below by 0. Assume the sequence is not bounded from above, i.e. $\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1} \to \infty$ and, without loss of generality, $\lambda_i^1 < \lambda_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

There exists $\lambda_i \to \infty$ satisfying $\lambda_i^1 < \lambda_i < \lambda_i^2$ such that

$$(\lambda_i M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times N, y)$$

for some unbounded metric space N: Let $\mu_i \to \infty$ be as in Lemma 2.13 such that $(\mu_i' \lambda_i^1 M_i, q_i)$ subconverges to a tangent cone of $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, x_1)$ for any $\mu_i' \to \infty$ with $\mu_i' \leq \mu_i$. Define

$$\mu_i' := \min\left\{\mu_i, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1}}\right\} \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i := \mu_i' \cdot \lambda_i^1 \to \infty.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $\mu_i > 1$. Thus, $\lambda_i^1 < \lambda_i < \lambda_i^2$. Furthermore, there exist a metric space N and $q \in \mathbb{R}^k \times N$ with $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times N, q)$ and this is a tangent cone of $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, x_1)$. Hence, for a sequence $\alpha_i \to \infty$,

$$(\mathbb{R}^k \times \alpha_i K_1, x_1) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times N, q).$$

Assume this N is compact and let $N' := \frac{1}{\mathrm{diam}(N)} \cdot N$ and $\beta_i := \frac{1}{\mathrm{diam}(N)} \cdot \alpha_i$. Then

$$(\mathbb{R}^k \times \beta_i K_1, x_1) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times N', q),$$

and, for sufficiently large i,

$$d_{GH}(B_{100}^{\mathbb{R}^k \times \beta_i K_1}(x_1), B_{100}^{\mathbb{R}^k \times N'}(q)) \leq 10^{-4}.$$

By Lemma 2.24 c), the sequence $(\mathrm{diam}(\beta_i K_1))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. This is a contradiction to $\beta_i \to \infty$. Hence, N is unbounded.

Now let $D := \mathrm{diam}(K_2)$ and fix $R > 1000D$. As N is unbounded, $2R < \mathrm{diam}(N)$ and $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times N}(q), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2)) \geq 20D$ by Lemma 2.24

d). Thus, for i large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda_i M_i}(q_i), B_R^{\lambda_i^2 M_i}(q_i)) &\geq d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times N}(q), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2)) \\ &\quad - d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda_i M_i}(q_i), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times N}(q)) \\ &\quad - d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda_i^2 M_i}(q_i), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2)) \\ &\geq 10D. \end{aligned}$$

Since the maps $h_i : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$h_i(\mu_i) := d_{GH}(B_R^{\mu_i M_i}(q_i), B_R^{\lambda_i^2 M_i}(q_i))$$

are continuous with $h_i(\lambda_i^2) = 0$ and $h_i(\lambda_i) \geq 10D$, by the intermediate value theorem, there is a maximal $\lambda_i^1 < \lambda_i' \leq \lambda_i < \lambda_i^2$ such that $h_i(\lambda_i') = 5D$. After passing to a subsequence,

$$(\lambda_i' M_i, q_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times Y, y)$$

for some metric space Y. In particular,

$$d_{GH}(B_R^{\lambda_i' M_i}(q_i), B_R^{\lambda_i^2 M_i}(q_i)) \to d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}(y), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2))$$

as $i \to \infty$. Hence, $d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}(y), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2)) = 5D$. Furthermore,

$$d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2}(x_2), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(K_2) = D$$

and the triangle inequality implies

$$4D \leq d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}(y), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \leq 6D < \frac{R}{12}.$$

By Lemma 2.24 b), Y is compact. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{diam}(Y) \geq d_{GH}(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k \times Y}(y), B_R^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)) \geq 4D > D,$$

in particular, Y is not a point.

Next, prove $\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i'} \to \infty$: Assume the quotient is bounded. Hence, after passing to a subsequence, $\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i'} \to \alpha$ and $\alpha \geq 1$ due to $\lambda_i' \leq \lambda_i^2$. Then

$$(\lambda_i^2 M_i, q_i) = \Big(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i'} \cdot \lambda_i' M_i, q_i\Big) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times \alpha Y, y) \cong (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2, x_2).$$

In particular, $\operatorname{diam}(Y) \leq \alpha \cdot \operatorname{diam}(Y) = \operatorname{diam}(K_2) = D$, and this is a contradiction.

Thus, $\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i'} \to \infty$. Analogously to the previous argumentation, there exists some maximal $\lambda_i' < \tilde{\lambda}_i < \lambda_i^2$ such that $h_i(\tilde{\lambda}_i) = 5D$. This is a contradiction to the maximal choice of λ_i'.

b) Let $q_i \in G_{r_1}(p_i^1) \cap G_{r_2}(p_i^2)$ and $\alpha_i := \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i^1}$. Assume $\alpha_i \to \infty$ and choose a subsequence $(i_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\alpha_{i_j} > j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. After passing to a further subsequence, there exist compact metric spaces K_m, where $1 \leq m \leq 2$, such

that $(\lambda_{i_j}^m M_{i_{j_l}}, q_{i_j}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_m, \cdot)$. By a), the sequence $(\alpha_{i_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. This is a contradiction. □

The following lemma gives a statement about the limit of such a bounded sequence of quotients.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds satisfying the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and let $\lambda_i, \mu_i > 0$ such that $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. If*

$$(\lambda_i M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times L, p_L) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu_i M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times M, p_M)$$

for some bounded metric spaces L and M, then

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \to \frac{\mathrm{diam}(L)}{\mathrm{diam}(M)} \text{ as } i \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(L) = \dim(M).$$

Proof. Let a be any accumulation point of $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(\frac{\lambda_{i_j}}{\mu_{i_j}}\right)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding converging subsequence. Then

$$(\lambda_{i_j} M_{i_j}, p_{i_j}) = \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_j}}{\mu_{i_j}} \cdot \mu_{i_j} M_{i_j}, p_{i_j}\right) \to (a\,(\mathbb{R}^k \times M), p_M) = (\mathbb{R}^k \times (aM), p_M)$$

as $j \to \infty$. Since this sequence converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k \times L, p_L)$ as well, there is an isometry

$$(\mathbb{R}^k \times (aM), p_M) \cong (\mathbb{R}^k \times L, p_L).$$

Thus, $\dim(L) = \dim(M)$, $\mathrm{diam}(L) = a \cdot \mathrm{diam}(M)$ and all accumulation points of the bounded sequence $(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ equal $\frac{\mathrm{diam}(L)}{\mathrm{diam}(M)}$. In particular, $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. □

Next, the question will be answered under which condition the quotient of rescaling sequences belonging to two points in $\mathcal{X}_r$ is bounded. The first approach in order to prove this is the special case of their good subsets to intersect. In the general case, the idea is to connect the points by a curve which itself is contained in $\mathcal{X}_r$ and can be covered by finitely many balls such that subsequent subsets of good points intersect. In fact, this cannot be expected to be possible for the same $r > 0$. However, it turns out that the quotient of the rescaling sequences is bounded if the points are connected by a minimising geodesic contained in some $\mathcal{X}_{r'}$ of a possibly different r'. Making all of this precise is the subject of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a collapsing sequence of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$.*

a) *Let* $r_m > 0$, $x_m \in \mathcal{X}_{r_m}$ *and* $p_i^{x_m} \to x_m$, *where* $1 \le m \le 2$, *such that* $G_{r_1}(p_i^{x_1}) \cap G_{r_2}(p_i^{x_2}) \neq \emptyset$ *for all* $i \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\frac{1}{5} \le \frac{\lambda_i^{x_1}(r_1)}{\lambda_i^{x_2}(r_2)} \le 5$$

for almost all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $l_\omega^{x_1}(r_1) = l_\omega^{x_2}(r_2)$.

b) *Let* $x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}}$, $p_i^x \to x$ *and* $r^x \ge R > r > 0$. *Then there is a natural number* $m = m(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, r, R) \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$5^{-m} \le \frac{\lambda_i^x(r)}{\lambda_i^x(R)} \le 5^m$$

for almost all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $l_\omega^x(r) = l_\omega^x(R)$.

c) *Let* $\gamma : [0, l] \to X$ *be a minimising geodesic with image* $\mathrm{im}(\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_r$ *for some* $0 < r \le \hat{\delta}$. *Let* $x = \gamma(0)$ *and* $y = \gamma(l)$. *Then there is a natural number* $m = m(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, l, r) \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$5^{-m} \le \frac{\lambda_i^x(r)}{\lambda_i^y(r)} \le 5^m$$

for almost all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $l_\omega^x(r) = l_\omega^y(r)$.

d) *Let* $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_r$ *and* $\gamma : [0, l] \to X$ *be a minimising geodesic with end points* $x = \gamma(0)$, $y = \gamma(l)$ *and image* $\mathrm{im}(\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{r'}$ *for some* $r' \le r \le \hat{\delta}$. *Then there is a natural number* $m = m(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, l, r, r') \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$5^{-m} \le \frac{\lambda_i^x(r)}{\lambda_i^y(r)} \le 5^m$$

for almost all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $l_\omega^x(r) = l_\omega^y(r)$.

Proof. a) Without loss of generality, for $1 \le m \le 2$, all $\lambda_i^{x_m}(r_m)$ are positive. Define $a_i := \frac{\lambda_i^{x_1}(r_1)}{\lambda_i^{x_2}(r_2)} > 0$ and let $q_i \in G_{r_1}(p_i^{x_1}) \cap G_{r_2}(p_i^{x_2})$ be arbitrary.

By Lemma 3.4, $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Let a be an arbitrary accumulation point and $(a_{i_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the subsequence converging to a. Since $q_{i_j} \in G_{r_1}(p_{i_j}^{x_1})$, after passing to a subsequence,

$$(\lambda_{i_j}^{x_1}(r_1)\, M_{i_j}, q_{i_j}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, \cdot) \text{ as } j \to \infty$$

for some compact metric space K_1 with $\frac{1}{5} \le \mathrm{diam}(K_1) \le 1$. Because of $q_{i_j} \in G_{r_2}(p_{i_j}^{x_2})$, after passing to a further subsequence,

$$(\lambda_{i_j}^{x_2}(r_2)\, M_{i_j}, q_{i_j}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2, \cdot) \text{ as } j \to \infty$$

and K_2 satisfies $\frac{1}{5} \le \mathrm{diam}(K_2) \le 1$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$a = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_{i_j}^{x_1}(r_1)}{\lambda_{i_j}^{x_2}(r_2)} = \frac{\mathrm{diam}(K_1)}{\mathrm{diam}(K_2)} \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, 5\right]$$

and $l_\omega^{x_1}(r_1) = l_\omega^{x_2}(r_2)$.

Since $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence and all accumulation points are contained in $[\frac{1}{5}, 5]$, only finitely many a_i are not contained in $[\frac{1}{5}, 5]$.

b) Since $C_{BG}(n,-1,\beta R,R)$ is monotonically increasing for decreasing $\beta<1$, there exists $\beta=\beta(n,\hat{\varepsilon},R)<1$ with $C_{BG}(n,-1,\beta R,R)<\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}}-1$. Fix this β. Because $C_{BG}(n,-1,\beta\rho,\rho)$ is monotonically increasing for increasing ρ, all $\rho\leq R$ satisfy $C_{BG}(n,-1,\beta\rho,\rho)<\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}}-1$ as well.

Let $m=m(n,\hat{\varepsilon},r,R)\in\mathbb{N}$ be maximal with $r\leq\beta^m\cdot R$. Define $r_j:=\beta^j\cdot R$ for $0\leq j<m$ and $r_m:=r\leq\beta\cdot r_{m-1}$. Then $C_{BG}(n,-1,r_{j+1},r_j)<\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}}-1$ for all $0\leq j<m$. Moreover, $x\in\mathcal{X}_{r_j}$ for all $0\leq j\leq m$ due to $r_j\leq R\leq r^x$.

Assume $G_{r_j}(p_i^x)\cap G_{r_{j+1}}(p_i^x)=\emptyset$ for some $0\leq j<m$ and $i\in\mathbb{N}$. This implies

$$G_{r_{j+1}}(p_i^x)\subseteq B_{r_j}(p_i^x)\setminus G_{r_j}(p_i^x),$$

in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\hat{\varepsilon})\cdot\mathrm{vol}(B_{r_j}(p_i^x))&\leq\mathrm{vol}(G_{r_{j+1}}(p_i^x))\\&\leq\mathrm{vol}(B_{r_j}(p_i^x)\setminus G_{r_j}(p_i^x))\\&\leq\hat{\varepsilon}\cdot\mathrm{vol}(B_{r_j}(p_i^x))\\&\leq\hat{\varepsilon}\cdot C_{BG}(n,-1,r_{j+1},r_j)\cdot\mathrm{vol}(B_{r_j}(p_i^x)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $1-\hat{\varepsilon}\leq\hat{\varepsilon}\cdot C_{BG}(n,-1,r_{j+1},r_j)<1-\hat{\varepsilon}$, and this is a contradiction.

Thus, $G_{r_j}(p_i^x)\cap G_{r_{j+1}}(p_i^x)\neq\emptyset$ for all $0\leq j<m$ and $i\in\mathbb{N}$. By a),

$$\frac{1}{5}\leq\frac{\lambda_i^x(r_j)}{\lambda_i^x(r_{j+1})}\leq 5$$

for almost all i and $l_\omega^x(r_j)=l_\omega^x(r_{j+1})$. Inductively,

$$l_\omega^x(r)=l_\omega^x(r_m)=l_\omega^x(r_0)=l_\omega^x(R).$$

Then

$$\frac{\lambda_i^x(R)}{\lambda_i^x(r)}=\frac{\lambda_i^x(r_0)}{\lambda_i^x(r_m)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{\lambda_i^x(r_j)}{\lambda_i^x(r_{j+1})}$$

proves the claim.

c) Let $d_0=d_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},r)$ be as in Lemma 2.19 and $m_0=m_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},l,r)\in\mathbb{N}$ be the minimal natural number with $l\leq m_0\cdot d_0$.

Define a sequence $0=t_0<t_1<\ldots<t_{m_0}=l$ with pairwise $t_{j+1}-t_j\leq d_0$ by $t_j:=j\cdot d_0$ for $0\leq j\leq m_0-1$ and $t_{m_0}:=l$. For $0\leq j\leq m_0$, define $y_j:=\gamma(t_j)\in\mathcal{X}_r$. Now fix $0\leq j<m_0$. By Lemma 2.19 and Lemma 2.17,

$$G_r(p_i^{y_j})\cap G_r(p_i^{y_{j+1}})\neq\emptyset.$$

The rest of the proof can be done analogously to the one of b).

d) Let $m_x:=m_y:=m(n,\hat{\varepsilon},r',r)$ be as in b) and $m_0=m_0(n,\hat{\varepsilon},l,r')$ as in c). Then $m=m(n,\hat{\varepsilon},l,r,r'):=m_x\cdot m_0\cdot m_y$ proves the claim. □

3.3. **Generic points and geodesics.** Throughout this subsection, fix a collapsing sequence $(M_i, p_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed complete connected n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ and converge to a limit (X, p) of dimension $k < n$ and use the notation introduced in subsection 3.1. Moreover, minimising geodesics are assumed to be parametrised by arc length.

By Lemma 3.6, rescaling sequences corresponding to two different points can be compared if those points are connected by a geodesic lying in some $\mathcal{X}_r$. It remains to check for which points this is the case. It will turn out that, if the strict interior of a minimising geodesic (i.e. the interior bounded away from the endpoints) is generic, then it is already contained in $\mathcal{X}_r$ for sufficiently small $r > 0$. In fact, nearly all pairs of points lie in the interior of such a geodesic such that the part of the geodesic connecting these points is generic.

Notation. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G} := \{(x,y) \in X_{\mathrm{gen}} \times X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \exists \text{ minim. geod. } \gamma : [0,l] \to X, 0 < t_x < t_y < l : \\ x = \gamma(t_x), y = \gamma(t_y), \mathrm{im}(\gamma_{|[t_x,t_y]}) \subseteq X_{\mathrm{gen}}\},\end{aligned}$$

and for $x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}}$ denote the image under the projection to the second factor by

$$\mathcal{G}_x := \{y \in X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid (x,y) \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Finally, define

$$\mathcal{G}' := \{x \in X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \mathcal{G}_x \text{ has full measure in } X\}.$$

Lemma 3.7. *The set $\mathcal{G}'$ has full measure in X.*

Proof. First, prove that $\mathcal{G}$ has full measure in $X \times X$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}S_1 := \{(x,y) \in X_{\mathrm{gen}} \times X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \exists \text{ minim. geodesic } c : [0,d] \to X : \\ x = c(0), y = c(d), \mathrm{im}(c) \subseteq X_{\mathrm{gen}}\} \\ S_2 := \{(x,y) \in X \times X \mid \exists \text{ minim. geodesic } \gamma : [0,l] \to X, 0 < t_x < t_y < l : \\ x = \gamma(t_x), y = \gamma(t_y)\}\end{aligned}$$

and define $S := S_1 \cap S_2$. By [CN12, Theorem 1.20 (1), Theorem A.4 (3)],

$$\mathrm{vol}_X \times \mathrm{vol}_X(X \times X \setminus S_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{vol}_X \times \mathrm{vol}_X(X_{\mathrm{gen}} \times X_{\mathrm{gen}} \setminus S_2) = 0.$$

In particular, using that $\mathrm{vol}_X(X \setminus X_{\mathrm{gen}}) = 0$, this proves

$$\mathrm{vol}_X \times \mathrm{vol}_X(X \times X \setminus S) = 0.$$

Next, prove $S \subseteq \mathcal{G}$: Let $(x,y) \in S$, $c : [0,d] \to X_{\mathrm{gen}}$ and $\gamma : [0,l] \to X$ be geodesics and $0 < t_x < t_y < l$ with $x = c(0) = \gamma(t_x)$ and $y = c(d) = \gamma(t_y)$. In particular, $d = d(x,y) = t_y - t_x \leq l$.



FIGURE 5. Construction of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Define $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, l] \to X$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\tau) := \begin{cases} \gamma(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \in [0, t_x] \cup [t_y, l], \\ c(\tau - t_x) & \text{if } \tau \in [t_x, t_y], \end{cases}$$

cf. Figure 5. A straightforward computation proves that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a minimising geodesic. Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ verifies $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}$, and this proves

$$\mathrm{vol}_X \times \mathrm{vol}_X(X \times X \setminus \mathcal{G}) = 0.$$

Using $X \times X \setminus \mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times (X \setminus \mathcal{G}_x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{vol}_{X \times X}(X \times X \setminus \mathcal{G}) \\ &= \int_X \mathrm{vol}_X(X \setminus \mathcal{G}_x)\, dV(x) \\ &= \int_{X \setminus \mathcal{G}'} \mathrm{vol}_X(X \setminus \mathcal{G}_x)\, dV(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{vol}_X(X \setminus \mathcal{G}_x) > 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{G}'$, this proves $\mathrm{vol}_X(X \setminus \mathcal{G}') = 0$. □

So far it was seen that almost all points can be connected by a geodesic lying in X_{gen} which can be extended at both ends. By applying the following theorem of Colding and Naber, which describes the Hölder continuity of the geometry of small balls with the same radius, to this situation, one obtains that the interior of the regarded geodesics not only lies in X_{gen}, but in $\mathcal{X}_r$ for some $r > 0$.

Theorem 3.8 ([CN12, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2])**.** *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $\alpha(n)$, $C(n)$ and $r_0(n)$ such that the following holds: Let M be a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$ or the limit space of a sequence of such manifolds, let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ a minimising geodesic (parametrised by arc-length) and fix $\beta \in (0, 1)$. For $0 < r < r_0 \beta l$ and $\beta l < s < t < (1 - \beta) l$,*

$$d_{GH}(B_r^M(\gamma(s)), B_r^M(\gamma(t))) < \frac{C}{\beta l} \cdot r \cdot |s - t|^{\alpha(n)}.$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\gamma : [0, l] \to X$ be a minimising geodesic and assume $0 < s < t < l$ to be times such that $\gamma_{|[s,t]}$ is contained in X_{gen}. Then there is $0 < r' = r'(\hat{\varepsilon}, l, s, t; n, k) \leq \hat{\delta}$ such that for all $0 < r \leq r'$,*

$$\mathrm{im}(\gamma_{|[s,t]}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_r.$$

Proof. Define $\beta = \beta(l,s,t) := \frac{1}{2l}\cdot\min\{s, l-t\} > 0$. Then $t, s \in (\beta l, (1-\beta)l)$. Furthermore, let $\alpha(n), C(n), r_0(n)$ be as in Theorem 3.8 and define

$$d = d(\hat{\varepsilon}, l, s, t; n, k) := \sqrt[\alpha(n)]{\frac{\beta l \cdot \hat{\delta}^2}{2C(n)}}.$$

Let $m = m(s,t)$ be the maximal natural number with $(m-1)d \leq t - s$ and define $\tau_j := s + jd$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$. By definition, $\tau_0 = s$ and $\tau_m = s + md > t$. Therefore, $[s,t] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^{m}(\tau_j - d, \tau_j + d)$.

For every $0 \leq j \leq m$, choose $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(\hat{\varepsilon}, l, s, t; n, k) > 1$ as in Lemma 3.2 and define $r' = r'(\hat{\varepsilon}, l, s, t; n, k) := \min\{\hat{\delta}, \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_m}, \hat{\delta}\cdot r_0(n)\cdot\beta l\}$. Let $0 < r \leq r'$ and $\tau \in [s,t]$ be arbitrary. Choose $0 \leq j \leq m$ with $|\tau - \tau_j| < d$. By definition of d,

$$|\tau - \tau_j|^{\alpha(n)} < d^{\alpha(n)} = \frac{\beta l \cdot \hat{\delta}^2}{2C(n)},$$

and so, using Theorem 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{GH}(B^{r^{-1}X}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(\gamma(\tau)), B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(0)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{r}\cdot d_{GH}(B^X_{r/\hat{\delta}}(\gamma(\tau)), B^X_{r/\hat{\delta}}(\gamma(\tau_j))) + d_{GH}(B^{r^{-1}X}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(\gamma(\tau_j)), B^{\mathbb{R}^k}_{1/\hat{\delta}}(0)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{r}\cdot\frac{C(n)}{\beta l}\cdot\frac{r}{\hat{\delta}}\cdot|\tau_j - \tau|^{\alpha(n)} + \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2} \\
&< \hat{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.4. **Proof of the main theorem.** In order to prove Theorem 3.1, the following technical result is needed which estimates the number of balls a point can be contained in if the base points of these balls form an ε-net.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq (n-1)\cdot\kappa$ *or the limit of a sequence of such manifolds. Then each point is contained in maximal $C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,r+2R)$ balls with radii R whose base points have pairwise distance at least $2r$.*

Proof. This result is an immediate consequence of the Bishop-Gromov Theorem: Let $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in X$ be points with pairwise distance at least $2r$ and $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} B_R(p_i)$.

On the one hand, since $d(p_i, p_j) \geq 2r$, one has $B_r(p_i) \cap B_r(p_j) = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. On the other hand, $B_r(p_i) \subseteq B_{r+R}(q)$, hence, $\coprod_{i=1}^{m} B_r(p_i) \subseteq B_{r+R}(q)$. Furthermore, $B_{r+R}(q) \subseteq B_{r+2R}(p_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. Together,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \geq \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\coprod_{i=1}^{m} B_r(p_i))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{r+R}(q))} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p_i))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{r+R}(q))} \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_r(p_i))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{r+2R}(p_i))} \geq \frac{m}{C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,r+2R)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $m \leq C_{BG}(n,\kappa,r,r+2R)$. □

It remains to prove the main theorem. Again, the notation introduced in subsection 3.1 and subsection 3.3 is used.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The idea of the proof is the following: First, fix a bound $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and choose a radius R such that $\mathcal{X}_R(\hat{\varepsilon}; n, k)$ has sufficiently large volume. Inside of this set of points, choose a point x_0 and a finite R-net of points x_j such that $(x_0, x_j) \in \mathcal{G}$, and take the union of the subsets $G_R(p_i^{x_j})$. This has the required properties.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary and define

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}(n, \varepsilon) := \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot C_{BG}\left(n, -1, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{17}{8}\right)} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

For arbitrary $r > 0$, define

$$X'(r) := \{x \in B_{1-r}(p) \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid r^x \geq r\}.$$

For $r_1 \leq r_2$, obviously $X'(r_2) \subseteq X'(r_1)$. Further, $\bigcup_{r>0} X'(r) = B_1(p) \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}}$. Thus, there exists a radius $0 < R = R(\varepsilon, X, p; n) \leq 1$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(X'(r)) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p) \cap X_{\mathrm{gen}}) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for all $r \leq R$. Fix this $0 < R \leq 1$.

Since $\mathcal{G}'$ has full measure by Lemma 3.7, $X'(R) \cap \mathcal{G}'$ is non-empty. Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X'(R) \cap \mathcal{G}'$, define

$$X' := X'(R) \cap \mathcal{G}_{x_0}$$

and choose a maximal number of points $x_1, \ldots, x_l \in X'$ with pairwise distance at least R. By the maximality of the choice, $X' \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(x_j)$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{x_0}$ has full measure by choice of x_0,

$$\mathrm{vol}_X\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(x_j)\right) \geq \mathrm{vol}_X(X') = \mathrm{vol}_X(X'(R)) \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

On the other hand, by choice, $B_R(x_j) \subseteq B_1(p)$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(x_j)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Let $p_i^{x_j} \to x_j$ and $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough such that for all $i \geq i_0$ and all $1 \leq j < j' \leq l$,

$$d(p_i^{x_j}, p_i^{x_{j'}}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot d(x_j, x_{j'}) \geq \frac{R}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(p_i^{x_j}))}{\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i))} \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(x_j))}{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p))}.$$

Fix $i \geq i_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(p_i^{x_j})) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(x_j))}{\mathrm{vol}_X(B_1(p))} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.10, every point in the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(p_i^{x_j})$ is contained in at most M different balls $B_R(p_i^{x_j})$ for

$$M = M(\varepsilon; n, k) := C_{BG}\left(n, -1, \frac{R}{8}, \frac{17R}{8}\right) \leq C_{BG}\left(n, -1, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{17}{8}\right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\hat{\varepsilon}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_R(p_i^{x_j})) \leq M \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}\big(\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(p_i^{x_j})\big) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\hat{\varepsilon}} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}\big(\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} B_R(p_i^{x_j}) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} G_R(p_i^{x_j})\big) &\leq \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}\big(\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} (B_R(p_i^{x_j}) \setminus G_R(p_i^{x_j}))\big) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_R(p_i^{x_j}) \setminus G_R(p_i^{x_j})) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \hat{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_R(p_i^{x_j})) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} G_R(p_i^{x_j})) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)).$$

Now define

$$G_1(p_i) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} G_R(p_i^{x_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i := \lambda_i^{x_0}(R).$$

By construction,

$$\mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(G_1(p_i)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{M_i}(B_1(p_i)).$$

From now on, let $\lambda_i^{x_j}$ denote $\lambda_i^{x_j}(R)$.

Fix $1 \leq j \leq l$. By construction, $(x_0, x_j) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x_0, x_j \in \mathcal{X}_R$. Thus, there exists a minimising geodesic $\gamma_j : [0, l_j] \to X$ and $0 < s_j < t_j < l_j$ such that

$\gamma_{j|[s_j,t_j]}$ is contained in X_{gen}, $\gamma_j(s_j) = x_0$ and $\gamma_j(t_j) = x_j$. By Lemma 3.9, there is $r'_j > 0$ such that for all $0 < r \leq r'_j$, $\gamma_{j|[s_j,t_j]}$ is contained in $\mathcal{X}_r$. Let $r_j := \min\{r'_j, R\}$. By Lemma 3.6 d), there is $m_j := m(n, \hat{\varepsilon}, d_X(x_0, x_j), r_j, R)$ satisfying

$$5^{-m_j} \leq \frac{\lambda_i^{x_0}}{\lambda_i^{x_j}} \leq 5^{m_j}$$

for almost all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l_\omega^{x_0}(R) = l_\omega^{x_j}(R)$. From now on, let $i \geq i_0$ be large enough such that the above estimate holds for all $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Given $q_i \in G_1(p_i)$, let (Y, q) be an arbitrary sublimit of $(\lambda_i M_i, q_i)$, i.e. for a subsequence $(i_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$,

$$(\lambda_{i_s} M_{i_s}, q_{i_s}) \to (Y, q) \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$

For a further subsequence $(i_{s_t})_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ there is $1 \leq j \leq l$ with $q_{i_{s_t}} \in G_R(p_{i_{s_t}}^{x_j})$ for all t and

$$(\lambda_{i_{s_t}}^{x_j} M_{i_{s_t}}, q_{i_{s_t}}) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times \tilde{K}, \cdot) \text{ as } t \to \infty$$

for a compact metric space $\tilde{K}$ satisfying $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{K}) \in [\frac{1}{5}, 1]$.

On the other hand,

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{i_{s_t}}}{\lambda_{i_{s_t}}^{x_j}} \cdot \lambda_{i_{s_t}}^{x_j} M_{i_{s_t}}, q_{i_{s_t}}\right) = \left(\lambda_{i_{s_t}} M_{i_{s_t}}, q_{i_{s_t}}\right) \to (Y, q) \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

the sequence $\frac{\lambda_{i_{s_t}}}{\lambda_{i_{s_t}}^{x_j}}$ converges to some α and Y is isometric to the product $\mathbb{R}^k \times K$ for $K := \alpha\tilde{K}$. In particular, $5^{-m_j} \leq \alpha \leq 5^{m_j}$. So, $\operatorname{diam}(K) \in [\frac{1}{D}, D]$ for $D := 5^{\max\{m_j | 1\leq j\leq l\}+1}$. Moreover, for any non-principal ultrafilter ω,

$$\dim(K) = \dim(\tilde{K}) = l_\omega^{x_j}(R) = l_\omega^{x_0}(R).$$

In particular, for any two sublimits $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_1, \cdot)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^k \times K_2, \cdot)$ coming from the same subsequence of indices, let ω be a non-principal ultrafilter such that these sublimits are ultralimits with respect to ω. Then

$$\dim(K_1) = \dim(K_2). \qquad \square$$

References

[And92] Michael T. Anderson, *Hausdorff perturbations of Ricci-flat manifolds and the splitting theorem*, Duke Mathematical Journal **68** (1992), no. 1, 67–82.

[CC96] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding, *Lower bounds of Ricci curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **144** (1996), no. 1, 189–237.

[CC97] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*, Journal of Differential Geometry **46** (1997), no. 3, 406–480.

[CC00a] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II*, Journal of Differential Geometry **54** (2000), no. 1, 13–35.

[CC00b] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III*, Journal of Differential Geometry **54** (2000), no. 1, 37–74.

[CN12] Tobias H. Colding and Aaron Naber, *Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **176** (2012), no. 2, 1173–1229.

[Col97] Tobias H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **145** (1997), no. 3, 477–501.

[KPT10] Vitali Kapovitch, Anton Petrunin, and Wilderich Tuschmann, *Nilpotency, almost nonnegative curvature, and the gradient flow on Alexandrov spaces*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **171** (2010), no. 1, 343–373.

[KW11] Vitali Kapovitch and Burkhard Wilking, *Structure of fundamental groups of manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below*, arXiv:1105.5955v2, 2011.

[Lee03] John M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2003.

[Pet06] Peter Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, 2006.

[Ste93] Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[SY00] Takashi Shioya and Takao Yamaguchi, *Collapsing three-manifolds under a lower curvature bound*, Journal of Differential Geometry **56** (2000), no. 1, 1–66.

[Yam91] Takao Yamaguchi, *Collapsing and Pinching Under a Lower Curvature Bound*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **133** (1991), no. 2, 317–357.

E-mail address: d.jansen@uni-muenster.de